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#### Abstract

This paper presents an analytical method for the vibration analysis of plates reinforced by any number of beams of arbitrary lengths and placement angles. Both the plate and stiffening beams are generally modeled as three-dimensional (3-D) structures having six displacement components at a point, and the coupling at an interface is generically described by a set of distributed elastic springs. Each of the displacement functions is here invariably expressed as a modified Fourier series, which consists of a standard Fourier cosine series plus several supplementary series/functions used to ensure and improve uniform convergence of the series representation. Unlike most existing techniques, the current method offers a unified solution to the vibration problems for a wide spectrum of stiffened plates, regardless of their boundary conditions, coupling conditions, and reinforcement configurations. Several numerical examples are presented to validate the methodology and demonstrate the effect on modal parameters for a stiffened plate with various boundary conditions, coupling conditions, and reinforcement configurations.


© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Plates reinforced by beams or ribs represent a class of structural components that are widely used in many applications such as hull decks, bridges, land and space vehicles, and buildings. Reinforcement schemes are often of direct interest in structural designs. Vibrations of stiffened plates have been extensively studied using various analytical and numerical techniques, as comprehensively reviewed in Refs. [1-3].

Orthotropic plate and grillage approximations are two common models used in the early literature [2]. While the former treats the stiffened plate as an equivalent orthotropic plate by smearing the stiffeners into the plate, the latter approximates the stiffeners as a grid attached to the plate. Other approaches, such as, wave propagation approaches [4-8], transfer matrix methods [9], Rayleigh-Ritz methods [10-14], and the finite difference methods [15,16], have also been developed to investigate various aspects of vibrations of stiffened plates.

With the rapid progress of computer technologies, the finite element methods (FEMs) have nowadays become a standard tool for the dynamic analyses of complex structures. Although the FEM is capable of predicting the vibrations of complex structures with fairly good accuracy, its deficiencies have also become evident, which include, for example, a requirement of the perfect match between the two-dimensional (2-D) mesh for a plate and a number of one-dimensional (1-D) meshes for beams. These problems have prompted researchers to seek alternative approaches for the vibration
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## Nomenclature

A vector of Fourier coefficients
$A_{m n}^{p}, B_{m n}^{p}, C_{m n}^{p}$ Fourier expansion coefficients of plate
$A_{r, m}^{b}, B_{r, n}^{b}$ Fourier coefficients of transverse displacement of beam
$A_{u, m}^{b}, B_{u, n}^{b}$ Fourier coefficients of axial displacement of beam
$A_{\theta, m}^{b}, B_{\theta, n}^{b}$ Fourier coefficients of torsional displacement of beam
$a \quad$ length of plate
$a_{m}^{l}, b_{n}^{l}, c_{m}^{l}, d_{n}^{l}, e_{m}^{l}, f_{n}^{l}$ Fourier expansion coefficients of plate
$b \quad$ width of plate
$D_{b y^{\prime}, i}, D_{b z^{\prime}, i}$ bending rigidity of beam
$D_{p} \quad$ bending rigidity of plate
$E_{p}, E_{b, i} \quad$ Young's modulus, respectively, of plate and $i$ th beam
$G_{p} \quad$ extensional rigidity
$G_{b, i} \quad$ shear modulus of the $i$ th beam
$h \quad$ thickness of plate
$J_{b, i} \quad$ torsional stiffness of the $i$ th beam
$\mathbf{K}_{\boldsymbol{p}} \quad$ stiffness matrix of the plate
$\mathbf{K}_{\boldsymbol{b}} \quad$ stiffness matrix of the beam
$\mathbf{K}_{\boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{b}} \quad$ stiffness matrix due to the coupling between the plate and beams
$K_{x 0}^{p}, K_{x 1}^{p}\left(K_{y 0}^{p}, K_{y 1}^{p}\right)$ stiffnesses for rotational springs, respectively, at $x=0$ and $a(y=0$ and $b)$
$K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b_{i}}, K_{z^{\prime}}^{p b_{i}}, K_{x^{\prime}}^{p b_{i}}$ stiffnesses for rotational coupling spring between the $i$ th beam and plate
$k_{z^{\prime}}^{p b_{i}}, k_{y^{\prime}}^{p b_{i}}, k_{x^{\prime}}^{p b_{i}}$ stiffnesses of linear coupling spring between the $i$ th beam and plate
$k_{f x 0}^{p}, k_{f x 1}^{p}\left(k_{f y 0}^{p}, k_{f y 1}^{p}\right)$ stiffnesses for flexural springs, respectively, at $x=0$ and $a(y=0$ and $b)$
$k_{l x 0}^{p}, k_{l x 1}^{p}\left(k_{l y 0}^{p}, k_{l y 1}^{p}\right)$ stiffnesses of longitudinal springs, respectively, at $x=0$ and $a(y=0$ and $b)$
$k_{s \times 0}^{p}, k_{s \times 1}^{p}\left(k_{s y 0}^{p}, k_{s y 1}^{p}\right)$ stiffnesses for the tangential springs, respectively, at $x=0$ and $a(y=0$ and $b)$
$L \quad$ Lagrangian operator
$L_{b} \quad$ beam length
$L_{i} \quad$ length of the $i$ th beam
$I_{x}, I_{y} \quad$ directional cosines of a beam
$M, N$ truncation numbers of the plate Fourier expansion series
$\mathbf{M}_{\boldsymbol{p}} \quad$ mass matrix of the plate
$\mathbf{M}_{\boldsymbol{b}} \quad$ mass matrix of the beams
$N_{b} \quad$ number of beam stiffeners
$S_{i} \quad$ cross-section area of the $i$ th beam
$T$ total kinetic energy
$T_{b, i} \quad$ kinetic energy of the $i$ th beam
$T_{p} \quad$ kinetic energy of the plate
$u_{p}, v_{p}, W_{p}$ in-plane and flexural displacements of plate
$u_{b}, W_{b, r}$ axial and flexural displacements, respectively, of beam
$\mathbf{U}_{p}, \mathbf{V}_{p}, \mathbf{W}_{p}$ coefficient vectors of in-plane and flexural displacements of plate
$\mathbf{U}_{b}^{i}, \mathbf{W}_{b, z^{\prime}}^{i}, \mathbf{W}_{b, y^{\prime}}^{i}$ coefficient vectors of longitudinal and flexural displacements of the $i$ th beam
$V \quad$ total potential energy
$V_{p_{-} \text {out, }}, V_{p_{-} \text {in }}$ strain energies due to bending, respectively, and in-plane motion
$V_{B . C}^{p} \quad$ potential energy stored in boundary springs of the plate
$V_{b, i} \quad$ strain energies of the $i$ th beam
$V_{\text {coup }}^{p b_{i}} \quad$ potential energies due to couplings between the $i$ th beam and plate
$\mu \quad$ Poisson's ratio
$\rho_{p}, \rho_{b, i}$ mass density of the plate and the $i$ th beam
$\omega \quad$ frequency in radian
$\theta_{b} \quad$ torsional displacement of the beam
$\Theta_{b}^{i} \quad$ coefficient vector of rotational displacements of the $i$ th beam
$\varphi \quad$ orientation angle of the beam
$\Omega$
analysis of stiffened plates. Doze and Ricciardi [17] proposed a combined analytical-numerical method to predict eigenpairs of rib-stiffened plates. In their study, the assumed modes method is used to derive equations of motions of the plate and rib separately, leading to sparse stiffness and mass matrices. The differential quadrature method was utilized by Zeng and Bert [18] for studying the free vibration of eccentrically stiffened plates. In order to avoid the FEM difficulties encountered in the meshing process, Peng et al. [19] employed a mesh-free Galerkin method for the free vibration and stability analysis of stiffened plates. Because there is no mesh used in this method, the stiffeners can be placed anywhere on the plate. A hybrid formulation by combination of the conventional FEA with energy FEA (EFEA) was presented by Hong et al. [20] to study flexible vibrations of plates with spot-welded stiffening beams. The flexible plate and stiffening beams are modeled by the EFEA and conventional FEA, respectively.

In recent studies, a plate and its stiffeners are often treated as separate elements, and the interaction forces in the governing equations are determined from the compatibility conditions on the interfaces. The connections between the plate and stiffeners are typically viewed as rigid coupling to easily satisfy the continuity conditions [10,13,16-19]. However, this simple treatment is not always appropriate in real-world applications. In practice, the stiffeners are often spot-welded or fixed to a plate through screws, rivets, and so on. Therefore, the coupling conditions between the plate and stiffeners are not known exactly. This uncertainty may be one of the causes for scattering of vibrational responses. To better model the coupling conditions, Zalizniak et al. [8] and Arruda et al. [21] treated the plate-beam connections as elastic joints in their studies of wave transmissions between plate and beams.

Various aspects of the reinforcing arrangements have been studied by many researchers [10-12,22-25] in terms of their impacts on dynamic characteristics of the resulting plate-beam systems. For example, Liew et al. [10] and Wu and Liy [12]
investigated how the natural frequencies of the combined structure will be affected by the aspect ratio of the plate and properties of the stiffeners. Torsional vibrations of the stiffeners were taken into account in Ref. [10]. Bhat [11] studied the effects of non-uniform stiffener spacing. Using FEM models, Nair and Rao [22] examined the impact on natural frequencies of the length of a stiffener. Although reinforcing beams are typically placed evenly in a parallel or orthogonal pattern in most cases, the orientations of stiffeners are found to play an important role in affecting the response of and power flows in the composite system [23]. Ouisse and Guyader [24] investigated the influence of beam placement angle on the dynamic behavior of coupled systems. Using the finite element method, Shastry and Venkateswara [25] examined the fundamental frequencies of rectangular plates for several different orientation arrangements of stiffeners. Other approaches were also used to investigate the structural characteristics of stiffened plates [26-29].

Although vibrations of stiffened plates have been extensively studied for decades, most of the reported investigations are based on the condition that plates are simply supported along, at least, a pair of opposite edges. In comparison, there is little attention paid to vibrations of stiffened plates under other boundary conditions and/or non-rigid coupling conditions between a plate and beams. This investigation is aimed at filling these analytical gaps and understanding the effects on modal properties of various (plate and beam) support conditions, general coupling conditions, and reinforcing arrangements with respect to the number, orientations, and lengths of attached beams.

## 2. Theoretical formulations

### 2.1. Descriptions of the coupled stiffened plate structure

Fig. 1 shows a rectangular plate reinforced by a number of stiffeners (or beams) with arbitrary placement angles (only one stiffener is shown in Fig. 1 for clarity). Vibrations of both the plate and stiffeners are generally considered as threedimensional: the plate has three independent (one transverse and two in-plane) displacements, and each of the stiffeners has four independent (one axial, one rotational, and two transverse) displacements. The plate with length $a$, width $b$, and thickness $h$ is assumed to lie in the $x-y$ plane. The boundary conditions for the plate are generally specified, along each edge, as elastic restraints, which are described in terms of four sets of uniformly distributed springs of arbitrary stiffnesses (refer to Fig. 1).

Suppose a beam with length $L_{b}$, width $w$, and thickness $t$ is attached to the plate with an arbitrary angle $\varphi$. For convenience, vibrations of the beam are described in a local coordinate system ( $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}$ ), as shown in Fig. 1. Unlike in many studies the beam, which starts from ( $L_{x b}, L_{y b}$ ) and ends at ( $L_{x e}, L_{y e}$ ), is not necessarily placed flush with the edges of the plate. The plate-beam connection is here treated as a line joint described by a set of six springs. At this junction, beam bending about $z^{\prime}$-axis (or torsion about $x^{\prime}$-axis) is directly coupled with in-plane (or transverse) vibrations of the plate. In many cases, it is possible to divide the plate and beam displacements into two independent groups and solve them separately based on the premise that in-plane and longitudinal modes tend to have much higher natural frequencies. However since this assertion is not readily verified a priori, and in- and out-of-plane vibrations are no longer decoupled for two plates connected at an angle, all the displacements for the plate and beams will be considered here as being coupled together, and determined simultaneously by solving the final combined system.

In order to be able to account for the general coupling conditions between the plate and beams, six types of distributed elastic springs are specified along the line junction. The orientations of the springs are individually defined with reference to the local coordinate system attached to each beam. The familiar rigid coupling condition in a direction can be easily created by setting the stiffness for the corresponding spring to be equal to infinity. For simplicity, it is assumed here that the coupling and restraining springs have a uniform stiffness distribution along a line. However, it can be shown that any non-uniform coupling conditions, such as partial and point connections, can be easily included in the formulation in the same way as dealing with non-uniform boundary supports [30].


Fig. 1. Elastically restrained rectangular plate reinforced by arbitrarily orientated beams.

### 2.2. Series representations of the displacement functions

As previously done for a single plate, the transverse displacement will be expressed as [31]

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{p}(x, y)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{m n}^{p} \cos \lambda_{a m} x \cos \lambda_{b n} y+\sum_{l=1}^{4}\left(\zeta_{b}^{l}(y) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{m}^{l} \cos \lambda_{a m} x+\zeta_{a}^{l}(x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n}^{l} \cos \lambda_{b n} y\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the in-plane displacements as [32]

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{p}(x, y)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{m n}^{p} \cos \lambda_{a m} x \cos \lambda_{b n} y+\sum_{l=1}^{2}\left(\xi_{b}^{l}(y) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_{m}^{l} \cos \lambda_{a m} x+\xi_{a}^{l}(x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_{n}^{l} \cos \lambda_{b n} y\right)  \tag{2}\\
& v_{p}(x, y)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{m n}^{p} \cos \lambda_{a m} x \cos \lambda_{b n} y+\sum_{l=1}^{2}\left(\xi_{b}^{l}(y) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} e_{m}^{l} \cos \lambda_{a m} x+\xi_{a}^{l}(x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n}^{l} \cos \lambda_{b n} y\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda_{a m}=m \pi / a$ and $\lambda_{a n}=n \pi / b ; A_{m n}^{p}, B_{m n}^{p}, \ldots, e_{m}^{l}$ and $f_{n}^{l}$ denote the Fourier coefficients of Fourier series expansions. The supplementary functions $\zeta_{b}^{l}(y), \zeta_{a}^{l}(x), \xi_{b}^{l}(y)$, and $\xi_{a}^{l}(x)$ are defined in Appendix A. These supplementary functions are used to deal with possible discontinuities (at the edges) potentially exhibited by a displacement function and its derivatives when they are periodically extended onto the entire $x-y$ plane as directly implied by a Fourier expansion. It can be proved mathematically that the series expansion in Eq. (1) (or Eqs. (2) and (3)) is able to expand and uniformly converge to any function $f(x, y) \in \mathrm{C}^{3}$ (or $\left.g(x, y) \in \mathrm{C}^{1}\right) \forall(x, y) \in \mathrm{D}:([0, a] \otimes[0, b])$. It should be noted that since the in-plane displacements are required to belong only to $C^{1}$, a single supplementary function is adequate at each edge to remove potential discontinuities with the first derivative.

The flexural, longitudinal, and torsional displacements of a beam can be similarly expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{b, r} & =\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_{r, m}^{b} \cos \lambda_{m} x+\sum_{n=1}^{4} B_{r, n}^{b} \sin \lambda_{n} x  \tag{4}\\
u_{b} & =\sum_{m=0}^{M} A_{u, m}^{b} \cos \lambda_{m} x+\sum_{n=1}^{2} B_{u, n}^{b} \sin \lambda_{n} x \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{b}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_{\theta, m}^{b} \cos \lambda_{m} x+\sum_{n=1}^{2} B_{\theta, n}^{b} \sin \lambda_{n} x \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{m}=m \pi / L_{b}$, and the subscript $r$ ( $=z^{\prime}$ or $y^{\prime}$ ) denotes the bending displacement about the $z^{\prime}$ - or $y^{\prime}$-axis.
Unlike in the 2-D plate cases, the supplementary functions for beam displacements are alternatively chosen as sine functions for simplicity. Theoretically, there exist an infinite number of possible choices for the supplementary functions; any set of closed-form functions that are linearly independent and sufficiently smooth over the solution domain can be a candidate. It is important to point out that the selection of the supplementary functions is not directly dictated by boundary conditions, which makes the current approach more attractive and powerful.

### 2.3. Solution for the coupled beam-plate system

As mentioned before, the current Fourier series expansion can be properly constructed to expand and uniformly converge to any function or solution with desired smoothness. Thus, it represents an exact (or strong form of) solution if the unknown Fourier coefficients are determined in such a way that the governing differential equation (s) and boundary conditions are exactly satisfied on a point-wise basis as done in the previous studies [31-34]. Such a solution process, however, tends to become less attractive for built-up structures since it leads to a system with fully populated stiffness and mass matrices. Thus, the Rayleigh-Ritz method will be employed here instead.

The Lagrangian $L$ for the beam-plate coupling system can be generally expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=V-T \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V$ and $T$, respectively, denote the total potential and kinetic energies, which are defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=V_{p \_o u t}+V_{p \_i n}+V_{B C}^{p}+\sum_{i}^{N}\left(V_{b, i}+V_{c o u p}^{p b_{i}}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=T_{p}+\sum_{i}^{N} T_{b, i} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ is the total number of stiffeners; $V_{p_{-} \text {out }}$ and $V_{p_{-} \text {in }}$ represent the strain energies due to the bending and in-plane motions, respectively, $V_{B C}^{p}$ designates the potential energy stored in the boundary springs of the plate, $V_{b, i}$ denotes the strain energy of the $i$ th beam, $V_{c o u p}^{p b_{i}}$ accounts for the potential energies associated with coupling springs between the $i$ th beam and the plate, and $T_{b, i}$ and $T_{p}$ are the kinetic energies corresponding to the vibrations of the $i$ th beam and the plate, respectively.

Specifically, the potential and kinetic energies of the plate can be written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
V_{p \_o u t}=\frac{D_{p}}{2} \int_{0}^{a} \int_{0}^{b}\left[w_{p, x x}^{2}+w_{p, y y}^{2}+2 \mu w_{p, x x} w_{p, y y}+2(1-\mu) w_{p, x y}^{2}\right] d x d y  \tag{10}\\
V_{p \_i n}=\frac{G_{p}}{2} \int_{0}^{a} \int_{0}^{b}\left[\left(u_{p, x}+v_{p, y}\right)^{2}-2(1-\mu) u_{p, x} v_{p, y}+\frac{(1-\mu)}{2}\left(u_{p, y}+v_{p, x}\right)^{2}\right] d x d y  \tag{11}\\
V_{B C}^{p}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{b}\left[\left(k_{f x 0}^{p} w_{p}^{2}+K_{x 0}^{p} w_{p, x}^{2}+k_{l x 0}^{p} u_{p}^{2}+k_{s x 0}^{p} v_{p}^{2}\right)_{x=0}+\left(k_{f x 1}^{p} w_{p}^{2}+K_{x 1}^{p} w_{p, x}^{2}+k_{l x 1}^{p} u_{p}^{2}+k_{s x 1}^{p} v_{p}^{2}\right)_{x=a}\right] d y \\
+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{a}\left[\left(k_{f y 0}^{p} w_{p}^{2}+K_{y 0}^{p} w_{p, y}^{2}+k_{l x 0}^{p} u_{p}^{2}+k_{s y 0}^{p} v_{p}^{2}\right)_{y=0}+\left(k_{f y 1}^{p} w_{p}^{2}+K_{y 1}^{p} w_{p, y}^{2}+k_{l y 1}^{p} u_{p}^{2}+k_{s y 1}^{p} v_{p}^{2}\right)_{y=b}\right] d x \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{p}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{a} \int_{0}^{b} \rho_{p} h\left[w_{p}^{2}+u_{p}^{2}+v_{p}^{2}\right] d x d y \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{p}=E_{p} h^{3} / 12\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)$ is the flexible rigidity of the plate; $E_{p}, G_{p}, \mu, \rho_{p}$, and $h$ are Young's modulus, extensional rigidity, Poisson's ratio, mass density, and thickness of the plate, respectively. Definitions for the boundary springs have been given in the nomenclature.

The potential and kinetic energies of the $i$ th beam can be expressed as

$$
\begin{gather*}
V_{b, i}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L_{i}}{ }_{\left[D_{b y^{\prime}, i} i w_{b z^{\prime}, x^{\prime} x^{\prime}}^{2} d x^{\prime}+D_{b z^{\prime}, i} w_{b y^{\prime}, x^{\prime} x^{\prime}}^{2} d x^{\prime}+E_{b, i} S_{i} u_{b, x^{\prime}}^{2}+G_{b, i} J_{i} \theta^{2}\right] d x^{\prime}}^{T_{b, i}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L_{i}} \rho_{b}\left[S_{i} w_{b z^{\prime}}^{2}+S_{i} w_{b y^{\prime}}^{2}+S_{i} u_{b}^{2}+J_{i} \theta^{2}\right] d x^{\prime}} \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $D_{b y^{\prime}, i}, D_{b z^{\prime}, i}, J_{i}, E_{b, i}, G_{b, i}, \rho_{b, i}, S_{i}$, and $L_{i}$ are, respectively, the bending rigidities in the $x^{\prime}-z^{\prime}$ and $x^{\prime}-y^{\prime}$ planes, torsional rigidity, Young's modulus, shear modulus, mass density, cross-sectional area, and length of the ith beam.

The coupling between the plate and a stiffener is treated as an elastic line connection along the beam, which is described by a set of six distributed springs. The potential energies stored in the coupling springs can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{c}^{p b_{i}}= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L_{i}}\left[k_{z^{\prime}}^{p b_{i}}\left(w_{p}-w_{b z^{\prime}}\right)^{2}+k_{y^{\prime}}^{p b_{i}}\left(v_{p} \cos \varphi-u_{p} \sin \varphi-w_{b y^{\prime}}\right)^{2}+k_{x^{\prime}}^{p b_{i}}\left(v_{p} \sin \varphi+u_{p} \cos \varphi-u_{b}\right)^{2}\right] d x^{\prime} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L_{i}}\left[K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b_{i}}\left(w_{p, x^{\prime}}-w_{b z^{\prime}, x^{\prime}}\right)^{2}+K_{z^{\prime}}^{p b_{i}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(v_{p, x}-u_{p, y}\right)-w_{b y^{\prime} x^{\prime}}\right)^{2}+K_{x^{\prime}}^{p b_{i}}\left(w_{p, y^{\prime}}-\theta\right)^{2}\right] d x^{\prime} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b_{i}}, K_{z^{\prime}}^{p b_{i}}, K_{x^{\prime}}^{p b_{i}}, k_{z^{\prime}}^{p b_{i}}, k_{y^{\prime}}^{p b_{i}}$, and $k_{x^{\prime}}^{p b_{i}}$, respectively, denote the stiffnesses of the coupling springs (refer to nomenclature), and $\varphi$ is the orientation angle of the beam with respect to the plate. In Eq. (16), the potential energy associated with the beam-plate couplings is expressed in terms of the local (beam) coordinates ( $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}$ ), which are defined such that the $x^{\prime}$-axis always lies on the beam and $z^{\prime}=z$, as shown in Fig. 2. The derivatives with respect to the local coordinates can be determined from

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{p, x^{\prime}}=\frac{\partial w_{p}}{\partial x} l_{x}+\frac{\partial w_{p}}{\partial y} l_{y}, \quad w_{p, y^{\prime}}=-\frac{\partial w_{p}}{\partial x} l_{y}+\frac{\partial w_{p}}{\partial y} l_{x} \tag{17,18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l_{x}=\sin \varphi$ and $l_{y}=\cos \varphi$ are the direction cosines of the beam axis.


Fig. 2. Schematic of an arbitrarily placed beam and its local coordinate system.

Substituting Eqs. (8)-(16) into (7) and minimizing Lagrangian against all the unknown Fourier coefficients, one obtains a system of linear equations in the matrix form as

$$
\left\{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{K}_{p} & \mathbf{K}_{p b}  \tag{19}\\
\mathbf{K}_{p b}^{T} & \mathbf{K}_{b}
\end{array}\right]-\omega^{2}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{M}_{p} & 0 \\
0 & \mathbf{M}_{b}
\end{array}\right]\right\} \mathbf{A}=0
$$

where $\mathbf{K}_{p}$, $\mathbf{K}_{b}$, and $\mathbf{K}_{p b}$ are the stiffness matrices, respectively, corresponding to the plate, the beams, and the coupling between them; $\mathbf{M}_{p}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{b}$ denote the mass matrices for the plate and the beams, respectively. Detailed expressions for these matrices are given later in Appendix B.

The coefficient vector $\mathbf{A}$ in Eq. (19) is defined as

$$
\mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{lllllllll}
\mathbf{W}_{p} & \mathbf{U}_{p} & \mathbf{V}_{p} & \ldots & \mathbf{W}_{b, z^{\prime}}^{i} & \mathbf{W}_{b, y^{\prime}}^{i} & \mathbf{U}_{b}^{i} & \Theta_{b}^{i} & \ldots \tag{20}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where subscripts $p$ and $b$, respectively, indicate an quantity related to the plate and beams, and superscript $i$ to the $i$ th beam. Component vectors in Eq. (20) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{W}_{p}=\left\{A_{00}^{p}, A_{01}^{p}, \ldots, A_{m 0}^{p}, A_{m 1}^{p}, \ldots, A_{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}}^{p}, \ldots, A_{M N}^{p}, a_{i, 0}^{1}, \ldots, a_{i, M}^{1}, a_{i, 0}^{2}, \ldots, a_{i, M}^{2},\right. \\
& \left.a_{i, 0}^{3}, \ldots, a_{i, M}^{3}, a_{i, 0}^{4}, \ldots, a_{i, M}^{4}, b_{i, 0}^{1}, \ldots, b_{i, N}^{1}, b_{i, 0}^{2}, \ldots, b_{i, N}^{2}, b_{i, 0}^{3}, \ldots, b_{i, N}^{3}, b_{i, 0}^{4}, \ldots, b_{i, N}^{4}\right\}  \tag{21}\\
& \mathbf{U}_{p}=\left\{B_{00}^{p}, B_{01}^{p}, \ldots, B_{m 0}^{p}, B_{m 1}^{p}, \ldots, B_{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}}^{p}, \ldots, B_{M N}^{p u}, e_{i, 0}^{1}, \ldots, e_{i, M}^{1}, e_{i, 0}^{2}, \ldots, e_{i, M}^{2}, f_{i, 0}^{1}, \ldots, f_{i, M}^{1}, f_{i, 0}^{2}, \ldots, f_{i, M}^{2}\right\}  \tag{22}\\
& \mathbf{V}_{p}=\left\{C_{00}^{p}, C_{01}^{p}, \ldots, C_{m 0}^{p}, C_{m 1}^{p}, \ldots, C_{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}}^{p}, \ldots, C_{M N}^{p}, g_{i, 0}^{1}, \ldots, g_{i, M}^{1}, g_{i, 0}^{2}, \ldots, g_{i, M}^{2}, h_{i, 0}^{1}, \ldots, h_{i, M}^{1}, h_{i, 0}^{2}, \ldots, h_{i, M}^{2}\right\}  \tag{23}\\
& \mathbf{W}_{b, z^{\prime}}^{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{lllllll}
A_{i z^{\prime}, 0}^{b} & \cdots & A_{i z^{\prime}, M}^{b} & B_{i z^{\prime}, 1}^{b} & B_{i z^{\prime}, 2}^{b} & B_{i z^{\prime}, 3}^{b} & B_{i z^{\prime}, 4}^{b}
\end{array}\right\}^{T}  \tag{24}\\
& \mathbf{W}_{b, y^{\prime}}^{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{lllllll}
A_{i y^{\prime}, 0}^{b} & \cdots & A_{i y^{\prime}, M}^{b}, & B_{i y^{\prime}, 1}^{b} & B_{i y^{\prime}, 2}^{b} & B_{i y^{\prime}, 3}^{b} & B_{i y^{\prime}, 4}^{b}
\end{array}\right\}^{T}  \tag{25}\\
& \mathbf{U}_{b}^{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{lllll}
A_{i u, 0}^{b} & \cdots & A_{i u, M}^{b}, & B_{i u, 1}^{b} & B_{i u, 2}^{b}
\end{array}\right\}^{T}  \tag{26}\\
& \Theta_{b}^{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{lllll}
A_{i \theta, 0}^{b} & \cdots & A_{i \theta, M}^{b}, & B_{i \theta, 1}^{b} & B_{i \theta, 2}^{b}
\end{array}\right\}^{T} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear from Eq. (19) that the natural frequencies and eigenvectors for the stiffened plate can now be directly obtained by solving a standard matrix eigenvalue problem. For a given natural frequency, the corresponding eigenvector actually contains all the Fourier coefficients, which can be subsequently used to construct the mode shape according to Eqs. (1)-(6). Although this investigation is focused only on the free vibration of a stiffened plate, the response of the system to an applied load can be readily considered by simply including the work done by this load in the Lagrangian, which will eventually lead to a force term on the right side of Eq. (19). Once the displacements are determined for the plate and beams, other quantities of interest such as reaction forces and power flows can be calculated directly from the appropriate mathematical operations on the analytical form of the displacement solutions, which can be done only when the solutions are constructed as sufficiently smooth as required in the strong formulations, and the series expansions are uniformly convergent to the highest involved derivatives (e.g., the third derivatives in shear force expressions).

## 3. Results and discussion

A number of numerical examples will be given in this section. Fig. 3 shows a rectangular plate orthogonally stiffened by a number of beams. In the following calculations, it is assumed that the plate and its stiffeners are made of the same material: $E_{p}=207 \mathrm{GPa}, \rho_{p}=7800 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}, \mu=0.3, G_{b}=E_{p} / 2(1+\mu)$ for the stiffeners, and $G_{p}=E_{p} h /\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)$ for the plate. The geometric properties of the stiffeners with a rectangular cross-section are taken as those previously used in Ref. [10] for the purpose of comparison. The boundary conditions of the plate are described by four capital letters; for instance, SCFC means that the plate is simply supported at $x=0$, clamped at $y=b$, free at $x=a$, and clamped at $y=0$.

To check the correctness and accuracy of the present solution, we will first consider a configuration previously studied in Refs. [10,17]; a plate has only one stiffener lying parallel to $x$-axis at $y=b / 2$ with the following parameters: aspect ratio $a / b=1$, ratio of thickness to width $h / b=0.01$, width ratio $w / b=0.01$, and height ratio $t / h=1$. The calculated first six frequency parameters, $\Omega=\left(\omega b^{2} / \pi^{2}\right) \sqrt{\rho_{p} h / D_{p}}$, are shown in Table 1 together with three sets of reference data for a CCCC plate with the stiffener rigidly attached to it. A clamped edge is a special case of the elastic supports when the stiffnesses for the restraining springs all become infinitely large (which is represented by a very large number, $1.0 \times 10^{11}$, in the actual calculations). The rigid coupling between the beam and plate is treated in the same way. A good comparison is observed between the current and other reference results. The results in Table 1 also show good convergence characteristics when different truncation numbers are used in the series expansions. Since the solution converges adequately fast, the series expansions will be simply truncated to $M=N=9$ in all the subsequent calculations.


Fig. 3. Illustration of plate and beam positions and reinforcement plans.

Table 1
Frequency parameters, $\Omega=\left(\omega b^{2} / \pi^{2}\right) \sqrt{\rho_{p} h / D_{p}}$, for a CCCC square plate with one $x$-wise stiffening beam placed at $b / 2$.

| $M=N$ | $\Omega=\left(\omega b^{2} / \pi^{2}\right) \sqrt{\rho_{p} h / D_{p}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 7 | 3.7802 | 7.4433 | 7.8140 | 10.9811 | 13.2505 |
| 9 | 3.7781 | 7.4430 | 7.8088 | 10.9803 | 13.2486 |
| 12 | 3.7732 | 7.4428 | 7.8073 | 10.9801 | 13.2481 |
| 13 | 3.7721 | 7.4428 | 7.8060 | 10.9800 | 13.2478 |
| 14 | 3.7720 | 7.4276 | 8.0853 | 11.0443 | 13.2473 |
|  | $3.8136^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 7.4771 | 7.9970 | 10.9490 | 13.3380 |
|  | $3.7947^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $3.7859^{\mathrm{c}}$ |  | 10.9663 | 13.2376 |
|  |  |  |  | 13.2496 |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Results from Ref. [17].
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Results from Ref. [10].
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Results from ANSYS with $200 \times 200$ elements.

In the next example, by changing the aspect ratio to $a / b=2$, the frequency parameters are calculated for two different height ratios, $t / h=1$ and 1.5. The results are shown in Table 2 for three different boundary conditions: SSSS, SCSC, and FFFF. To understand the impact of the stiffener height ratio, the frequency parameters corresponding to the first mode in the FFFF case are highlighted in Table 3. The current results match well with those obtained using other techniques in all these cases. However, it should be pointed out that unlike the other techniques the current method does not require any modification to the formulations or solution procedures in dealing with different boundary conditions; modifying a boundary condition is as simple as changing a material or geometrical parameter such as Young's modulus or mass density.

Other reinforcement configurations involving more stiffeners are also considered here. Table 4 shows the frequency parameters for an SSSS square plate with a pair of perpendicular stiffeners symmetrically placed with respect to the plate center. Given in Table 5 are the results for a plate stiffened by two evenly distributed beams in the $x$-direction, and two in the $y$-direction (as illustrated by the dash lines in Fig. 3). The next example involves a non-symmetric reinforcement configuration in which two beams are placed along two edges at $y=0$ and $x=0$. The related model parameters are chosen as follows: $a=0.6 \mathrm{~m}, b=0.4 \mathrm{~m}, h=0.008 \mathrm{~m}, w / b=0.01$, and $t / h=1$. Listed in Table 6 are the frequency parameters for the plate with six different boundary conditions: FCSF, FFCF, FSSF, FCCF, SFFC, and SFFS. Since these cases were not studied previously, the current results are compared only with the FEM data. Even though the conventional Rayleigh-Ritz solutions based on the "corresponding" beam functions are not presented, it can be speculated that they are most likely to become less accurate for this kind of problems because the stiffeners located along one or more plate edges tend to have some meaningful effects on the actual boundary conditions for the plate.

All the boundary conditions thus far considered still fall into the category of the "classical" ones for which the beam functions have been well established. In many real applications, one may have to consider a more complicated class (or the mixed type) of boundary conditions, which are specified in terms of elastic restraints at an edge. As an example, we consider a plate having one $x$-direction stiffener at $y=2 b / 3$. Each of its four edges is now elastically restrained by the transverse and rotational springs with stiffness $10^{5} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}$ and $10^{4} \mathrm{Nm} / \mathrm{rad}$, respectively. In addition, a pair of in-plane springs with the same stiffness, $10^{9} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}$, is applied to edge $y=0$. All other parameters are kept the same as in the previous example. The calculated frequency parameters are given in Table 7 together with the FEM results.

Table 2
Frequency parameters, $\Omega=\left(\omega b^{2} / \pi^{2}\right) \sqrt{\rho_{p} h / D_{p}}$, for a rectangular plate stiffened by one $x$-wise stiffening beam at $b / 2$ with different boundary conditions and stiffener height ratios.

| BC | $t / h$ | $\Omega=\left(\omega b^{2} / \pi^{2}\right) \sqrt{\rho_{p} h / D_{p}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| SSSS | 1 | 1.2401 | 2.0373 | 3.3898 | 4.2500 | 5.0124 | 5.3027 |
|  |  | $1.2435^{\text {a }}$ | 2.0379 | 3.3941 | 4.2512 | 5.0045 | 5.3040 |
|  |  | $1.2411^{\text {b }}$ | 2.0146 | 3.3768 | 4.2517 | 5.0072 | 5.2766 |
|  | 1.5 | 1.2447 | 2.1089 | 3.5984 | 4.2553 | 5.0251 | 5.6653 |
|  |  | $1.2457^{\text {a }}$ | 2.1066 | 3.6067 | 4.2524 | 5.0093 | 5.7115 |
|  |  | $1.2456{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2.1060 | 3.6040 | 4.2555 | 5.0228 | 5.7029 |
| SCSC | 1 | 2.3869 | 2.9465 | 4.0854 | 5.8468 | 6.4378 | 7.0273 |
|  |  | $2.3873^{\text {a }}$ | 2.9513 | 4.1096 | 5.9104 | 6.4386 | 7.0320 |
|  |  | $2.3722^{\text {b }}$ | $2.9281$ | 4.0643 | 5.8265 | 6.4370 | $7.0301$ |
|  | 1.5 | 2.3777 | 2.9949 | 4.2800 | 6.2276 | 6.4392 | 7.0332 |
|  |  | $2.3781^{\text {a }}$ | 3.0022 | 4.3262 | 6.3773 | 6.4396 | 7.0373 |
|  |  | $2.3776{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2.9954 | 4.2960 | 6.2969 | 6.4400 | 7.0471 |
| FFFF | 1 | 0.5726 | 0.6707 | 1.4822 | 1.5962 | 2.2270 | 2.5734 |
|  |  | $0.5737^{\text {b }}$ | 0.6750 | 1.4853 | 1.5852 | 2.2204 | 2.5771 |
|  | 1.5 | $0.6152$ | $0.6748$ | $1.4892$ | $1.6840$ | $2.2193$ | $2.5787$ |
|  |  | $0.6153^{\text {b }}$ | 0.6786 | 1.4929 | 1.6851 | 2.2183 | 2.5877 |

${ }^{a}$ Results from Ref. [17].
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Results from ANSYS with $200 \times 200$ elements.

Table 3
The first frequency parameter, $\Omega_{1}=\left(\omega_{1} b^{2} / \pi^{2}\right) \sqrt{\rho_{p} h / D_{p}}$, for an FFFF rectangular plate with significantly different stiffener height ratios.

| $t / h=1$ | $t / h=2$ | $t / h=4$ | $t / h=6$ | $t / h=8$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.5726 | 0.6744 | 0.7148 | 0.7851 | 0.8550 |
| $0.5737^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0.6774 | 0.7206 | 0.7926 | 0.8635 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Results from ANSYS with $200 \times 200$ elements.

Table 4
Frequency parameters, $\Omega=\left(\omega b^{2} / \pi^{2}\right) \sqrt{\rho_{p} h / D_{p}}$, for an SSSS square plate with one central $x$ - wise beam and one central $y$-wise beam.

| $\Omega=\left(\omega b^{2} / \pi^{2}\right) \sqrt{\rho_{p} h / D_{p}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2.2093 | 5.6924 | 5.7006 | 8.0514 | 11.1823 |
| $2.2027^{\text {a }}$ | 5.7195 | 5.7195 | 8.0469 | 11.2071 |
| $2.2017^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 5.7167 | 5.7167 | 8.0552 | 11.1909 |
| $2.1996^{\text {c }}$ | 5.6933 | 5.6933 | 8.0511 | 11.1824 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Results from Ref. [17].
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Results from Ref. [10].
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Results from ANSYS with $200 \times 200$ elements.

While the unifying nature of the current method has been adequately demonstrated through various boundary conditions, its capability cannot be fully recognized without examining some nonconventional reinforcement configurations. In most investigations, the coupling between the plate and its stiffeners are simply considered as completely rigid, which is typically enforced in terms of the compatibility conditions between the displacements for the plate and stiffeners. In many modern structures, stiffeners are often glued, bolted, or spot-welded to plates, thus allowing separations between plates and stiffeners at the interfaces. In other cases, even though the coupling is substantially strong between some degrees of freedom (e.g., between flexural displacements), the bonding may actually be quite weak between others (e.g., between rotational displacements). Thus, it is of practical interest to understand the effects of the coupling conditions on the modal characteristics of a stiffened plate. Take an FSSS plate with one $y$-direction beam at $x=0$ for

Table 5
Frequency parameters, $\Omega=\left(\omega b^{2} / \pi^{2}\right) \sqrt{\rho_{p} h / D_{p}}$, for a rectangular plate stiffened by two $x$-wise and two $y$-wise evenly distributed beams with different boundary conditions and stiffener height ratios.

| BC | $t / h$ | $\Omega=\left(\omega b^{2} / \pi^{2}\right) \sqrt{\rho_{p} h / D_{p}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| SSSS | 1 | 1.2874 | 2.0784 | 3.4670 | 4.4583 | 5.0993 | 5.4345 |
|  |  | $1.2992^{\text {a }}$ | 2.0845 | 3.4689 | 4.4657 | 5.1837 | 5.4341 |
|  |  | $1.2990{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2.0840 | 3.4677 | 4.4627 | 5.1801 | 5.4291 |
|  | 1.5 | 1.3808 | 2.2000 | 3.7674 | 4.7877 | 5.3029 | 5.9622 |
|  |  | $1.3852^{\text {a }}$ | 2.2291 | 3.7852 | 4.7950 | 5.4760 | 6.0493 |
|  |  | $1.3855^{\text {b }}$ | 2.2296 | 3.7863 | 4.7935 | 5.4764 | 6.0444 |
| SCSC | 1 | 2.5213 | 2.9772 | 4.1325 | 5.9331 | 6.6582 | 7.1526 |
|  |  | $2.5411^{\text {a }}$ | 3.0777 | 4.1455 | 6.0176 | 6.7364 | 7.2821 |
|  |  | $2.5387^{\text {b }}$ | 3.0713 | 4.1283 | 5.9881 | 6.7250 | 7.2693 |
|  | 1.5 | 2.7298 | 3.2712 | 4.3987 | 6.6347 | 7.0756 | 7.6353 |
|  |  | $2.7426^{\text {a }}$ | 3.3015 | 4.4135 | 6.6563 | 7.1881 | 7.6484 |
|  |  | $2.7404^{\text {b }}$ | 3.2969 | 4.4024 | 6.6374 | 7.1780 | 7.6403 |
| CCCC | 1 |  |  |  | $6.7269$ |  | $7.5273$ |
|  |  | $2.6347^{\text {a }}$ | $3.4660$ | $4.8544$ | $6.8068$ | $7.0342$ | $7.6675$ |
|  |  | $2.6315^{\text {b }}$ | 3.4474 | 4.8306 | 6.7908 | 7.0014 | 7.5801 |
|  | 1.5 | 2.8309 | 3.7683 | 5.2257 | 7.1831 | 7.7656 | 8.0681 |
|  |  | $2.8522^{\text {a }}$ | 3.8090 | 5.2872 | 7.2543 | 7.8368 | 8.2815 |
|  |  | $2.8528^{\text {b }}$ | 3.7893 | 5.2683 | 7.2651 | 7.8194 | 8.1636 |

${ }^{a}$ Results from Ref. [17].
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Results from Ref. [10].

Table 6
Frequency parameters, $\Omega=\left(\omega b^{2} / \pi^{2}\right) \sqrt{\rho_{p} h / D_{p}}$, for a rectangular plate stiffened by one $x$-wise beam at $y=0$ and one $y$-wise beam at $x=0$ with various boundary conditions.

| BC | $\Omega=\left(\omega b^{2} / \pi^{2}\right) \sqrt{\rho_{p} h / D_{p}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| FCSF | 0.4575 | 1.1730 | 2.4084 | 2.8168 | 3.3847 | 5.1699 |
|  | $0.4599^{\text {a }}$ | 1.1776 | 2.4154 | 2.8182 | 3.3822 | 5.1625 |
| FFCF | $0.1607$ | 0.5297 | 1.0016 | 1.8239 | 2.5373 | 2.8387 |
|  | $0.1613^{a}$ | $0.5303$ | 1.0046 | 1.8299 | 2.5387 | 2.8432 |
| FSSF | 0.2300 | 1.0353 | 1.7754 | 2.7122 | 2.8521 | 4.7314 |
|  | $0.2269^{\text {a }}$ | 1.0303 | 1.7722 | 2.7046 | 2.8280 | 4.6932 |
| FCCF |  | $1.4243$ | $2.4457$ | $3.2962$ | $3.5552$ | $5.5365$ |
|  | $0.5255^{\text {a }}$ | $1.4371$ | $2.4561$ | $3.3119$ | $3.5673$ | $5.5482$ |
| SFFC | $0.4511$ | $1.1131$ | $2.3258$ | 2.6060 | 3.3046 | $4.9401$ |
|  | $0.4501^{\text {a }}$ | 1.1109 | 2.3245 | 2.6006 | 3.2946 | 4.9181 |
| SFFS | 0.2320 | 0.9830 | 1.7014 | 2.5096 | 2.7780 | 4.5401 |
|  | $0.2302{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.9765 | 1.6970 | 2.5006 | 2.7544 | 4.4983 |

a Results from ANSYS with $200 \times 200$ elements.

Table 7
Frequency parameters, $\Omega=\left(\omega b^{2} / \pi^{2}\right) \sqrt{\rho_{p} h / D_{p}}$, for a rectangular elastically restrained plate stiffened by one $x$-wise beam at $y=2 b / 3$.

| $\Omega=\left(\omega b^{2} / \pi^{2}\right) \sqrt{\rho_{p} h / D_{p}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 0.1484 | 0.2558 | 0.3741 | 0.9861 | 1.1383 | 2.1625 | 2.4032 | 2.1667 |
| $0.1498^{\text {a }}$ | 0.2587 | 0.3776 | 0.9892 | 1.1424 | 2.8245 |  |  |

[^1]example. The geometric parameters are specified as $a / b=1, h / b=0.02, w / b=0.02$, and $t / h=1$. The calculated frequency parameters are listed in Table 8 for a wide range of coupling stiffnesses from $k_{x}=k_{y}=k_{z}=10^{3} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}$ to $10^{9} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}$. For simplicity, the couplings through rotational springs are ignored here; that is, $K_{x}=K_{y}=K_{z}=0$. The results clearly show that the coupling conditions can significantly affect the dynamic characteristics of the combined system.

As mentioned earlier, it is required in an FEA model that a 2-D mesh for the plate has to match seamlessly with 1-D meshes for beams. This cumbersome requirement will become more evident from this final example, which is used to examine the effects of orientation of a stiffening beam. Assume a square plate with the following geometric parameters: $h / b=0.01, w / b=0.01$, and $t / h=10$. Only a single beam of length $b$ is rigidly attached to it for a number of configurations; while one end is fixed to ( 0,0 ), the other end is placed at various angles from $0^{\circ}$ to $90^{\circ}$, as illustrated in Fig. 4. Four different boundary conditions are considered for the plate: FFFS, SFFS, SSFS, and SSSS. Table 9 shows the calculated frequency parameters of the first mode versus the orientation angle of the stiffening beam. The first six frequency parameters are plotted in Fig. 5 as functions of the orientation angle of the stiffening beam. It can be seen that the frequency parameters vary significantly with the orientation angle, and the shapes of these curves strongly depend on the boundary conditions. As illustrated in Fig. 5, the curves are symmetric about $45^{\circ}$ for the two symmetric boundary conditions, SFFS and SSSS. In comparison, the curves exhibit an "irregular" shape toward the other two boundary conditions, FFFS and SSFS. The first

Table 8
Frequency parameters, $\Omega=\left(\omega b^{2} / \pi^{2}\right) \sqrt{\rho_{p} h / D_{p}}$, for an FSSS square plate stiffened by one $y$-wise beam at $x=0$ with various coupling spring stiffness.

| $k x, k y, k z$ | $\Omega=\left(\omega b^{2} / \pi^{2}\right) \sqrt{\rho_{p} h / D_{p}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| $10^{3}$ | 0.2326 | 0.2327 | 0.2327 | 0.2331 | 0.2372 | 1.1874 | 2.175 | 2.8258 |
|  | $0.2305^{\text {a }}$ | 0.2307 | 0.2327 | 0.2346 | 0.2347 | 1.1846 | 2.1745 | 2.8126 |
| $10^{4}$ | $0.7297$ | 0.7359 | 0.7359 | 0.736 | 0.737 | 1.1965 | 2.2843 | 2.8292 |
|  | $0.7253^{\text {a }}$ | 0.7295 | 0.7359 | 0.7392 | 0.7423 | 1.1937 | 2.2839 | 2.816 |
| $10^{5}$ | 1.1797 | 2.2893 | 2.3113 | 2.3271 | 2.3272 | 2.3272 | 2.912 | 3.1768 |
|  | $1.1768^{\text {a }}$ | 2.276 | 2.3061 | 2.3197 | 2.3271 | 2.3464 | 2.9011 | 3.1776 |
| $10^{6}$ | 1.234 | 2.8102 | 4.1895 | 5.9011 | 6.0351 | 7.3583 | 7.3589 | 7.3591 |
|  | $1.231^{\text {a }}$ | 2.7962 | 4.1846 | 5.8756 | 6.0165 | 7.2673 | 7.3584 | 7.398 |
| $10^{7}$ | $1.2831$ | 2.8427 | 4.4088 | 6.1903 | 6.1964 | 9.4004 | 9.6477 | 11.2829 |
|  | $1.2799^{\text {a }}$ | 2.8283 | 4.4035 | 6.1639 | 6.1766 | 9.3917 | 9.5995 | 11.2412 |
| $10^{8}$ | 1.2948 | 2.8499 | 4.5311 | 6.204 | 6.3591 | 9.6736 | 9.7737 | 11.544 |
|  | $1.2916^{\text {a }}$ | 2.8355 | 4.5256 | 6.1839 | 6.333 | 9.6645 | 9.7249 | 11.520 |
| $10^{9}$ | $1.2968$ | $2.8517$ | $4.5551$ | $6.206$ | $6.3974$ | $9.7377$ | $9.8015$ | $11.5502$ |
|  | $1.2938{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.8369 | 4.5501 | 6.1848 | 6.3738 | 9.7286 | 9.7543 | 11.520 |

[^2]

Fig. 4. Beam orientation angle varies from $0^{\circ}$ to $90^{\circ}$.

Table 9
Frequency parameters for the first mode, $\Omega=\left(\omega b^{2} / \pi^{2}\right) \sqrt{\rho_{p} h / D_{p}}$, of a square plate with a stiffener placed in different angles.

| BC | $\Omega=\left(\omega b^{2} / \pi^{2}\right) \sqrt{\rho_{p} h / D_{p}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $0^{\circ}$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $45^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $75^{\circ}$ |
| FFFS | 0.7582 | 0.9212 | 1.0502 | 1.0081 | 0.8877 | 0.7709 |
| SFFS | 0.4078 | 0.4816 | 0.5912 | 0.6596 | 0.5912 | 0.4816 |
| SSFS | 1.2684 | 1.3455 | 1.3461 | 1.3614 | 1.4079 | 1.3414 |
| SSSS | 2.0941 | 2.5562 | 2.8022 | 2.6768 | 2.8022 | 0.6130 |
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Fig. 5. First six frequency parameters versus orientation angle of the stiffening beam: (a) 1st mode, (b) 2nd mode, (c) 3rd mode, (d) 4th mode, (e) 5th mode, and (f) 6th mode; $\triangle$, FFFS; $\square$, SFFS; $\bigcirc$, SSFS; ${ }^{*}$, SSSS.


Fig. 6. First mode shape for an SSSS plate stiffened by one beam with various orientations: (a) $\varphi=0^{\circ}$, (b) $\varphi=15^{\circ}$, (c) $\varphi=30^{\circ}$, and (d) $\varphi=45^{\circ}$.
mode for the SSSS plate is shown in Fig. 6 for four different stiffener orientation angles. When the stiffener lies along the $x$-axis $\left(\varphi=0^{\circ}\right)$, its presence is manifested only in the restraining effect against the rotation along edge $y=0$. The first frequency parameter, 2.09, is thus slightly higher than 2.0 for a simply supported plate, and lower than 2.18 for the case where a uniform rotational restraint $K a / D=1$ is added to each edge of the simply supported plate [31]. The increase of frequency parameter for other orientation angles, which peaks near $28^{\circ}$ (see Fig. 5(a)), can be understood as the outcome of reducing the effective sizes of the plate due to the reinforcement. These results clearly show that the dynamic behaviors of a stiffened plate can be meaningfully manipulated through modifications of reinforcement configurations.

The frequency parameters can be quite sensitive to a minor change of the beam placement angle. High sensitivity zones are dependent on the frequency parameters and boundary conditions. Take the simply supported case for example. The high sensitivity zones are approximately located at $0-20^{\circ}, 20-30^{\circ}, 25-35^{\circ}, 40-45^{\circ}, 30-45^{\circ}$, and $25-35^{\circ}$ for these first six frequencies, respectively. While these characterizations are specifically related to the selected set of model parameters and boundary conditions, similar behaviors are expected to be also observable on other systems. Such information can be of practical importance to structural design and noise and vibration control; in the high sensitivity zones, even a slight deviation of the stiffener orientation can result in significant modifications to modal characteristics of the system.

## 4. Conclusions

A general analytical method has been developed for the vibration analysis of a plate arbitrarily reinforced by beams of any lengths. All the flexural and in-plane (or axial and torsional) displacements are included in the plate and beam models to accurately take into account the possible cross-couplings at the plate-beam interfaces. The boundary conditions for the plate and beams, and the coupling conditions between them, are all generally specified in terms of elastic springs, thus allowing the creation of a unified solution method. Since each displacement component is invariably expressed as a modified Fourier series, the current method has effectively avoided many of the problems and difficulties resulting from the use of "appropriate" beam functions as typically required in other techniques. All the unknown expansion coefficients are treated equally as generalized coordinates and determined from the Rayleigh-Ritz method. Since the constructed displacement functions are sufficiently smooth throughout the entire solution domains, secondary variables such as
bending moments and shear forces (involving the second and third derivatives) can be directly calculated from corresponding mathematical operations of the displacement functions. The accuracy and reliability of the proposed solution have been repeatedly demonstrated through numerical examples, which involve various boundary conditions, coupling conditions, and reinforcement configurations. Even though this study is specifically focused on free vibrations of stiffened plates, the present method can be directly extended to vibrations of more complicated built-up structures consisting of a number of beams and plates.
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Appendix A. Supplementary functions used in Eqs. (1)-(3)
The supplementary functions used in the transverse and in-plane displacement expressions of the plate in $x$-direction are defined as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\zeta_{1 a}(x)=\frac{9 a}{4 \pi} \sin \left(\frac{\pi x}{2 a}\right)-\frac{a}{12 \pi} \sin \left(\frac{3 \pi x}{2 a}\right)  \tag{A1}\\
\zeta_{2 a}(x)=-\frac{9 a}{4 \pi} \cos \left(\frac{\pi x}{2 a}\right)-\frac{a}{12 \pi} \cos \left(\frac{3 \pi x}{2 a}\right)  \tag{A2}\\
\zeta_{3 a}(x)=\frac{a^{3}}{\pi^{3}} \sin \left(\frac{\pi x}{2 a}\right)-\frac{a^{3}}{3 \pi^{3}} \sin \left(\frac{3 \pi x}{2 a}\right)  \tag{A3}\\
\zeta_{4 a}(x)=-\frac{a^{3}}{\pi^{3}} \cos \left(\frac{\pi x}{2 a}\right)-\frac{a^{3}}{3 \pi^{3}} \cos \left(\frac{3 \pi x}{2 a}\right)  \tag{A4}\\
\xi_{1 a}(x)=x\left(\frac{x}{a}-1\right)^{2} \text { and } \xi_{2 a}(x)=\frac{x^{2}}{a}\left(\frac{x}{a}-1\right) \tag{A5,A6}
\end{gather*}
$$

The corresponding supplementary functions in $y$-direction can be obtained from the above equations by simply replacing subscript $a$ and variable $x$ with $b$ and $y$, respectively.

## Appendix B. System matrix definitions

The stiffness matrix $\mathbf{K}_{p}$ in Eq. (19) is the summation of $\mathbf{K}_{p}^{0}$ and $\mathbf{K}_{p}^{c}$, respectively, representing the contributions from the plate and the plate-beam coupling. The expressions for $\mathbf{K}_{p}^{0}$ are available in Ref. [35], and will not be repeated here for conciseness. The stiffness matrix $\mathbf{K}_{p}^{c}$ is the summation of matrices resulting from the coupling between the plate and the $i$ th beam, that is

$$
\mathbf{K}_{p}^{c}=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{K}_{w_{p} w_{p}}^{i} & 0 & 0  \tag{B1}\\
0 & \mathbf{K}_{u_{p} u_{p}}^{i} & \mathbf{K}_{u_{p} v_{p}}^{i} \\
0 & \left(\mathbf{K}_{u_{p} v_{p}}^{i}\right)^{T} & \mathbf{K}_{v_{p} v_{p}}^{i}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where components of sub-matrices $\mathbf{K}_{w_{p} w_{p}}^{i}, \mathbf{K}_{u_{p} u_{p}}^{i}, \mathbf{K}_{v_{p} v_{p}}^{i}$, and $\mathbf{K}_{u_{p} v_{p}}^{i}$ are, respectively, given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{w_{p} w_{p}}^{11}\right\}_{s, t}=k_{z^{\prime}}^{p b} \alpha_{m n} \Xi \alpha_{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}}^{T}+\widehat{\alpha}_{m n}\left[\lambda_{m} \lambda_{m^{\prime}}\left(K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b} l_{x}^{2}+K_{x^{\prime}}^{p b} l_{y}^{2}\right) \Xi_{m n, m^{\prime} n^{\prime}} \widehat{\alpha}_{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}}^{T}+\lambda_{n} \lambda_{n^{\prime}} l_{x} l_{y}\left(K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b}-K_{x^{\prime}}^{p b}\right) \Xi_{m n, n^{\prime} m^{\prime}} \widetilde{\alpha}_{n^{\prime} m^{\prime}}^{T}\right] \\
& +\lambda_{n} \widehat{\alpha}_{n m}\left[\lambda_{m^{\prime}} l_{x} l_{y}\left(K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b}-K_{x^{\prime}}^{p b}\right) \Xi_{n m, m^{\prime} n^{\prime}} \widetilde{\alpha}_{n^{\prime} m^{\prime}}^{T}+\lambda_{n^{\prime}}\left(K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b} l_{y}^{2}+K_{x^{\prime}}^{p b} l_{x}^{2}\right) \Xi_{n m, n^{\prime} m^{\prime}} \widetilde{\alpha}_{n^{\prime} m^{\prime}}^{T}\right]  \tag{B2}\\
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{w_{p} w_{p}}^{1(j+1)}\right\}_{s, m^{\prime}+1}=k_{z^{\prime}}^{p b} \alpha_{m n} \bar{\Xi}_{m n, m^{\prime}}\left(\tau_{a j} \hat{\mathrm{H}} \hat{\bar{\alpha}}_{m^{\prime}}\right)^{T}+\lambda_{m} \bar{\alpha}_{m n} \bar{\Xi}_{m n, n^{\prime}}\left[l_{x} l_{y}\left(K_{x^{\prime}}^{p b}-K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b}\right)\left(\tilde{\tau}_{b j} \hat{\mathrm{H}} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{m^{\prime}}\right)^{T}+\lambda_{m^{\prime}}\left(K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b} l_{x}^{2}+K_{x^{\prime}}^{p b} l_{y}^{2}\right)\left(\tau_{b j} \hat{H} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{m^{\prime}} \bar{\Lambda}\right)^{T}\right] \\
& +\lambda_{n} \bar{\alpha}_{n m} \bar{\Xi}_{n m, m^{\prime}}\left[\lambda_{m^{\prime}} l_{x} l_{y}\left(K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b}-K_{x^{\prime}}^{p b}\right)\left(\tau_{b j} \hat{H} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{m^{\prime}} \bar{\Lambda}\right)^{T}-\left(K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b} l_{y}^{2}+K_{x^{\prime}}^{p b} l_{x}^{2}\right)\left(\tilde{\tau}_{b j} \hat{H} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{m^{\prime}}\right)^{T}\right]  \tag{B3}\\
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{w_{p} w_{p}}^{1(j+5)}\right\}_{s, n^{\prime}+1}=k_{z^{\prime}}^{p b} \alpha_{m n} \bar{\Xi}_{m n, n^{\prime}}\left(\tau_{a j} \hat{H} \hat{\bar{\alpha}}_{n^{\prime}}\right)^{T}+\lambda_{m} \bar{\alpha}_{m n} \bar{\Xi}_{m n, n^{\prime}}\left[\lambda_{n^{\prime}} l_{x} l_{y}\left(K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b}-K_{x^{\prime}}^{p b}\right)\left(\tau_{a j} \hat{H} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{n^{\prime}} \bar{\Lambda}\right)^{T}-\left(K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b} l_{x}^{2}+K_{x^{\prime}}^{p b} l_{y}^{2}\right)\left(\tilde{\tau}_{a j} \hat{\mathrm{H}} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{n^{\prime}}\right)^{T}\right] \\
& +\lambda_{n} \bar{\alpha}_{n m} \bar{\Xi}_{n m, n^{\prime}}\left[l_{x} l_{y}\left(K_{x^{\prime}}^{p b}-K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b}\right)\left(\tilde{\tau}_{a j} \hat{H} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{n^{\prime}}\right)^{T}+\lambda_{n^{\prime}}\left(K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b} l_{y}^{2}+K_{x^{\prime}}^{p b} l_{x}^{2}\right)\left(\tau_{a j} \hat{H} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{n^{\prime}} \bar{\Lambda}\right)^{T}\right]  \tag{B4}\\
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{w_{p} w_{p}}^{(i+1)(j+1)}\right\}_{m+1, m^{\prime}+1}=k_{z^{\prime}}^{p b}\left(\tau_{b i} \hat{H} \hat{\bar{\alpha}}_{m}\right) \hat{\Xi}_{m, m^{\prime}}\left(\tau_{b j} \hat{\mathrm{H}} \hat{\bar{\alpha}}_{m^{\prime}}\right)^{T}+\tilde{\tau}_{b i} \hat{\mathrm{H}} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{m}\left[\left(K_{x^{\prime}}^{p b} l_{x}^{2}+K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b} l_{y}^{2}\right)\left(\tilde{\tau}_{b j} \hat{\mathrm{H}} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{m^{\prime}}\right)^{T}+\lambda_{m^{\prime}} l_{x} l_{y}\left(K_{x^{\prime}}^{p b}-K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b}\right)\left(\tau_{b j} \hat{\mathrm{H}} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{m^{\prime}} \bar{\Lambda}\right)^{T}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\lambda_{m} \tilde{\tau}_{b i} \hat{H} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{m} \bar{\Lambda}\left[\lambda_{m^{\prime}}\left(K_{x}^{p b} l_{y}^{2}+K_{y}^{p b} l_{x}^{2}\right)\left(\tau_{b j} \hat{H} \hat{\bar{\beta}}{ }_{m^{\prime}} \bar{\Lambda}\right)^{T}+l_{x} l_{y}\left(K_{x^{\prime}}^{p b}-K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b}\right)\left(\tilde{\tau}_{b j} \hat{H} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{m^{\prime}}\right)^{T}\right]  \tag{B5}\\
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{w_{p} p_{p}}^{(i+1)(j+5)}\right\}_{m+1, n^{\prime}+1}=k_{z^{\prime}}^{p b}\left(\tau_{b i} \hat{\mathrm{H}} \hat{\bar{\alpha}} \hat{m}_{m}\right) \hat{\Xi}_{m, n^{\prime}}\left(\tau_{a j} \hat{\mathrm{H}} \hat{\left.\hat{\alpha}_{n}\right)^{T}}\right)^{T}+\tilde{\tau}_{b i} \hat{\hat{H}} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{m}\left[l_{x} l_{y}\left(K_{y}^{p b}-K_{x}^{p b}\right)\left(\tilde{\tau}_{a j} \hat{\mathrm{H}} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{n^{\prime}}\right)^{T}-\lambda_{n}\left(K_{x}^{p b} l_{x}^{2}+K_{y}^{p b} l_{y}^{2}\right)\left(\tau_{a j} \hat{\mathrm{H}} \hat{\bar{\beta}}{ }_{n^{\prime}} \bar{\Lambda}\right)^{T}\right] \\
& +\lambda_{m} \tau_{b i} \hat{H} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{m} \bar{\Lambda}\left[\lambda_{n} l_{x} l_{y}\left(K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b}-K_{x^{\prime}}^{p b}\right)\left(\tau_{a j} \hat{H} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{n^{\prime}} \bar{\Lambda}\right)^{T}-\left(K_{x}^{p b} l_{y}^{2}+K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b} l_{x}^{2}\right)\left(\tilde{\tau}_{a j} \hat{H} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{n}\right)^{T}\right](i, j=1,3) \tag{B6}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\boldsymbol{K}_{w_{p} w_{p}}^{(i+5)(j+5)}\right\}_{n+1, n^{\prime}+1}=k_{z^{\prime}}^{p b}\left(\tau_{a i} \hat{\mathrm{H}} \hat{\bar{\alpha}}_{n}\right) \hat{\bar{\Xi}}_{n, n^{\prime}}\left(\tau_{a j} \hat{\mathrm{H}} \hat{\bar{\alpha}}_{n^{\prime}}\right)^{T}+\tilde{\tau}_{a i} \hat{\mathrm{H}} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{n}\left(\left[K_{x}^{p b} l_{y}^{2}+K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b} l_{x}^{2}\right)\left(\tilde{\tau}_{a j} \hat{\mathrm{H}} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{n^{\prime}}\right)^{T}+\lambda_{n^{\prime}} l_{x} l_{y}\left(K_{x}^{p b}-K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b}\right)\left(\tau_{a j} \hat{\mathrm{H}} \hat{\bar{\beta}_{n}} \bar{\Lambda}^{T}\right)^{T}\right] \\
& +\lambda_{n} \tilde{\tau}_{a i} \hat{\mathrm{H}} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{n} \bar{\Lambda}\left[\lambda_{n^{\prime}}\left(K_{x}^{p b} l_{x}^{2}+K_{y}^{p b} l_{y}^{2}\right)\left(\tau_{a j} \hat{\mathrm{H}} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{n^{\prime}} \bar{\Lambda}\right)^{T}+l_{x} l_{y}\left(K_{x^{\prime}}^{p b}-K_{y}^{p b}\right)\left(\tilde{\tau}_{a j} \hat{H} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{n^{\prime}}\right)^{T}\right] \quad(i, j=1,3) \tag{B7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $s=m(N+1)+n+1$ and $t=m^{\prime}(N+1)+n^{\prime}+1$.
The expressions for $i, j=2,4$ can be obtained from the above equations by replacing $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\bar{\beta}}$, and $\bar{\Lambda}$ with $\hat{\bar{\beta}}, \hat{\bar{\alpha}}$, and $\Lambda$, respectively.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{u_{p} u_{p}}^{11}\right\}_{, t, t}=\left(k_{y^{\prime}}^{p b} l_{y}^{2}+k_{x}^{p b} l_{x}^{2}\right) \alpha_{m n} \Xi_{m n, m^{\prime} n^{\prime}} \alpha_{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}}^{T}+K_{z}^{p b} \lambda_{n} \lambda_{n^{\prime}} \bar{\alpha}_{n m} \Xi_{n m, n^{\prime} m^{\prime}} \widetilde{\alpha}_{n^{\prime} m^{\prime}}^{T}  \tag{B8}\\
& \left\{\boldsymbol{K}_{u_{p} u_{p}}^{1 j+1)}\right\}_{s, m^{\prime}+1}=\left(\left.k_{y^{\prime}}^{p b}\right|_{y} ^{2}+k_{x}^{p b} l_{x}^{2}\right) \kappa_{b j} \Lambda_{m, n, m^{\prime}}^{C_{x}} \gamma_{m, n, m^{\prime}}-K_{z^{\prime}}^{p b} \lambda_{n} \tilde{\kappa}_{b j} \bar{c}_{n, m, m}^{\tilde{c}_{x}} \bar{\gamma}_{n, m, m^{\prime}}  \tag{B9}\\
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{u_{p} u_{p}}^{1(+3)}\right\}_{s, n^{\prime}+1}=\left(k_{y}^{p b} l_{y}^{2}+k_{x}^{p b} l_{x}^{2}\right) \kappa_{a j} \Delta_{m, n, n}^{C_{x}} \gamma_{m, n, n^{\prime}}+K_{z^{\prime}}^{p b} \lambda_{n} \lambda_{n^{\prime}} \kappa_{a j} \Delta_{n, m, n}^{C_{x}} \hat{\gamma}_{n, m, n^{\prime}}  \tag{B10}\\
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{u_{p} u_{p}}^{(i+1)(1)+1)}\right\}_{m+1, m^{\prime}+1}=\left(k_{y^{\prime}}^{p b} l_{y}^{2}+k_{x}^{p b} l_{x}^{p}\right) \kappa_{b i} \bar{c}_{m, m^{\prime}}^{c_{x}, c_{x}, k_{b j}} \alpha_{m m^{\prime}}+K_{z^{\prime}}^{p p} \tilde{\kappa}_{b i} \bar{\Delta}_{m, m^{\prime}}^{\tilde{x}_{x}, \tilde{C}_{x}, \tilde{k}_{b j}} \alpha_{m m^{\prime}}  \tag{B11}\\
& \left\{\boldsymbol{K}_{u_{p} u_{p}}^{(i+3)(j+3)}\right\}_{n+1, n^{\prime}+1}=\left(k_{y}^{p b} l_{y}^{2}+k_{x}^{p b} l_{x}\right) \kappa_{a i} \bar{\Delta}_{n, n^{\prime}}^{c_{x}, c_{x}, \kappa_{d}} \alpha_{n n^{\prime}}+K_{z^{\prime}}^{p b} \lambda_{n} \lambda_{n^{\prime}} \kappa_{a i} \bar{\Delta}_{n, n^{\prime}}^{c_{x}, c_{x}, \kappa_{d}} \hat{\alpha}_{n n^{\prime}}  \tag{B12}\\
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{u_{p} u_{p}}^{(i+1)(j+3)}\right\}_{m+1, n^{\prime}+1}=\left(k_{y^{\prime}}^{p b} l_{y}^{2}+k_{x}^{p b} l_{x}^{2}\right) \kappa_{b i} \bar{\Delta}_{m, n^{\prime}}^{c_{x}, c_{x}, \kappa_{a j}} \alpha_{m, n^{\prime}}-K_{z^{\prime}}^{p b} \lambda_{n^{\prime}} \tilde{\kappa}_{b i} \bar{\Delta}_{m, n^{\prime}}^{\tilde{c}_{x}, c_{x}, \kappa a i} \bar{\alpha}_{m n}  \tag{B13}\\
& \left\{\boldsymbol{K}_{v_{p} \nu_{p}}^{11}\right\}_{s, t}=\left(\left.k_{y^{\prime}}^{p b}\right|_{x} ^{2}+k_{x}^{p b} l_{y}^{2}\right)_{y m} \alpha_{m n} \Xi_{m n, m^{\prime} n^{\prime}} \alpha_{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}}^{T}+K_{z^{\prime}}^{p b} \lambda_{m} \lambda_{m} \widehat{\alpha}_{m n} \Xi \widetilde{\alpha}_{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}}^{T} \tag{B14}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{v_{p} v_{p}}^{1(j+3)}\right\}_{s, n^{\prime}+1}=\left(\left.k_{y}^{p b}\right|_{x} ^{2}+k_{x}^{p b} l_{y}^{2}\right) \kappa_{a j} \Delta_{m, n, n}^{C_{x}} \gamma_{m, n, n}-K_{z}^{p b} \lambda_{m} \tilde{\kappa}_{a j} \dot{C}_{m, n, n}^{\tilde{C}_{x}} \bar{\gamma}_{m, n, n^{\prime}}  \tag{B16}\\
& \left\{\boldsymbol{K}_{v_{p} \nu_{p}}^{(i+1)(j+1)}\right\}_{m+1, m^{\prime}+1}=\left(k_{y^{\prime}}^{p b} l_{x}^{2}+k_{x}^{p b} l_{y}^{2}\right) \kappa_{b i} \bar{\Delta}_{m, m^{\prime}} \bar{c}_{x}, C_{k^{\prime}, k_{b}} \alpha_{m, m^{\prime}}+K_{z^{\prime}}^{p b} \lambda_{m} \lambda_{m^{\prime}} \kappa_{b i} \bar{\Delta}_{m, m^{\prime}}^{c_{x}, c_{k}, k_{b}} \hat{\alpha}_{m, m^{\prime}}  \tag{B17}\\
& \left\{\boldsymbol{K}_{v_{p} \nu_{p}}^{(i+3)(j+3)}\right\}_{n+1, n^{\prime}+1}=\left(k_{y}^{p b} l_{x}^{2}+k_{x}^{p b} l_{y}^{2}\right) \kappa_{a i} \bar{\Delta}_{n, n^{\prime}}^{c_{x}, c_{x}, \kappa_{d j}} \alpha_{n, n^{\prime}}+K_{z}^{p b} \tilde{\kappa}_{a i} \overline{\bar{\Delta}}_{n, n^{\prime}} \tilde{c}_{x} \tilde{c}_{x, \tilde{c}_{j}} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{n, n^{\prime}}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{u_{p} p_{p}}^{11}\right\}_{s, t}=l_{x} l_{y}\left(k_{x^{\prime}}^{p b}-k_{y^{\prime}}^{p b}\right) \alpha_{m n} \Xi \alpha_{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}}^{T}-K_{z^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{pb}} \lambda_{n} \lambda_{m^{\prime}} \bar{\alpha}_{n m} \Xi \widehat{\alpha}_{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}}^{T}  \tag{B20}\\
& \left\{\boldsymbol{K}_{u_{p} \nu_{p}}^{1(j+1)}\right\}_{s, m^{\prime}+1}=l_{x} l_{y}\left(k_{x}^{p b}-k_{y^{\prime}}^{p b}\right) \kappa_{b j} \Delta_{m, n, m}^{c_{x}} \gamma_{m, n, m^{\prime}}-K_{z^{\prime}}^{p b} \lambda_{n} \lambda_{m^{\prime}} \kappa_{b j} \Delta_{n, m, m}^{\mathcal{C}_{x}} \hat{\gamma}_{n, m, m^{\prime}}  \tag{B21}\\
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{u_{p} \nu_{p}}^{1(j+3)}\right\}_{s, n^{\prime}+1}=l_{x} l_{y}\left(k_{x}^{p b}-k_{y}^{p b}\right) \kappa_{a j} \Delta_{m, n, r^{\prime}}^{C_{x}} \gamma_{m, n, n^{\prime}}+K_{z}^{p b} \lambda_{a n} \tilde{\kappa}_{a j} \Delta_{n, m, n}^{\tilde{x}_{x}} \bar{\gamma}_{n, m, n^{\prime}}  \tag{B22}\\
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{u_{p} \nu_{p}}^{(i+1)(j+1)}\right\}_{m+1, m^{\prime}+1}=l_{x} l_{y}\left(K_{x^{\prime}}^{p b}-k_{y^{\prime}}^{p b}\right) \kappa_{b i} \bar{\Delta}_{m, m^{\prime}}^{c_{x}, c_{x}, \kappa_{j}} \alpha_{m, m^{\prime}}+K_{z^{\prime}}^{p b} \lambda_{m^{\prime}} \tilde{\kappa}_{b i} \bar{\Delta}_{m^{\prime}, m}^{\tilde{x}_{x}, C_{k}, \kappa_{b j}} \bar{\alpha}_{m^{\prime} m}  \tag{B23}\\
& \left\{\boldsymbol{K}_{u_{p} v_{p}}^{(i+1)(j+3)}\right\}_{m+1, n^{\prime}+1}=l_{x} l_{y}\left(k_{x^{\prime}}^{p b}-k_{y^{\prime}}^{p b}\right) \kappa_{b i} \bar{i}_{m, n^{\prime}}^{c_{x}, c_{k}, k_{a j}} \alpha_{m, n^{\prime}}-K_{z^{\prime}}^{p b} \tilde{\kappa}_{b i} \bar{c}_{m, n^{\prime}}^{\bar{c}_{x} \tilde{c}_{,}, \tilde{k}_{a j}} \alpha_{m n^{\prime}}  \tag{B24}\\
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{u_{p} \nu_{p}}^{(i+3)(j+3)}\right\}_{n+1, n^{\prime}+1}=l_{x} l_{y}\left(k_{x^{\prime}}^{p b}-k_{y^{\prime}}^{p b}\right) \kappa_{a i} \bar{ד}_{n, n^{\prime}}^{c_{x}, c_{x}, \kappa_{a j}} \alpha_{n n^{\prime}}+K_{z^{\prime}}^{p b} \lambda_{b n} \kappa_{a i} \bar{\Delta}_{n, n^{\prime}}^{c_{x}, \tilde{x}_{x} \tilde{\kappa}_{a j}} \bar{\alpha}_{n n^{\prime}}  \tag{B25}\\
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{u_{p} \nu_{p}}^{i+1) 1}\right\}_{m+1, t^{t}}=l_{x} l_{y}\left(k_{x^{\prime}}^{p b}-k_{y^{\prime}}^{p b}\right) \kappa_{b i} \Lambda_{m, n^{\prime}, m^{\prime}}^{\mathcal{C}_{x}} \gamma_{m, r^{\prime}, m^{\prime}}+K_{z}^{p b} \lambda_{m^{\prime}} \tilde{\kappa}_{b i} \dot{\Delta}_{m, m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}}^{\tilde{\gamma}_{x}} \bar{\gamma}_{m^{\prime}, m, n^{\prime}}  \tag{B26}\\
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{u_{p} \nu_{p}}^{(i+3) 1}\right\}_{n+1, t}=l_{x} l_{y}\left(k_{x^{\prime}}^{p b}-k_{y^{\prime}}^{p b}\right) \kappa_{a i} \Delta_{n, m, n}^{C_{x}} \gamma_{n, m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}}-K_{z^{\prime}}^{p b} \lambda_{n} \lambda_{m^{\prime}} \kappa_{a i} \Delta_{m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}, n}^{C_{x}} \hat{\gamma}_{m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}, n}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\mathbf{K}_{u_{p} v_{p}}^{(i+3)(j+1)}\right\}_{n+1, m^{\prime}+1}=l_{x} l_{y}\left(k_{x^{\prime}}^{p b}-k_{y^{\prime}}^{p b}\right) \kappa_{a i} \Delta_{n, m^{\prime}}^{c_{x}, c_{x}, \kappa_{b j}} \alpha_{n m^{\prime}}-K_{z^{\prime}}^{p b} \lambda_{n} \lambda_{m^{\prime}} \kappa_{a i} \Delta_{n, m^{\prime}}^{c_{x}, c_{x}, \kappa_{b j}} \hat{\alpha}_{n m^{\prime}} \quad(i, j=1,2) \tag{B28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The plate-beam coupling stiffness matrix is express as

$$
\mathbf{K}_{p b}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\mathbf{K}_{p b}^{1} & \mathbf{K}_{p b}^{2} & \ldots & \mathbf{K}_{p b}^{N} \tag{B29}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{K}_{p b}^{i}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-\mathbf{K}_{w_{p} w_{b z^{\prime}}}^{i} & 0 & 0 & -\mathbf{K}_{w_{p} \theta}^{i} \\
0 & \mathbf{K}_{u_{p} w_{b y^{\prime}}}^{i} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\mathbf{K}_{v_{p} w_{b y^{\prime}}}^{i} & -\mathbf{K}_{v_{p} u_{b}}^{i} & 0
\end{array}\right]  \tag{B30}\\
\mathbf{K}_{w_{p} \sigma}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{K}_{w_{p} \sigma}^{11} & \mathbf{K}_{w_{p} \sigma}^{12} \\
\mathbf{K}_{w_{p} \sigma}^{21} & \mathbf{K}_{w_{p} \sigma}^{22} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\mathbf{K}_{w_{p} \sigma}^{91} & \mathbf{K}_{w_{p} \sigma}^{92}
\end{array}\right] \tag{B31}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{K}_{\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{K}_{\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}}^{11} & \mathbf{K}_{\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}}^{12}  \tag{B32}\\
\mathbf{K}_{\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}}^{21} & \mathbf{K}_{\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}}^{22} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\mathbf{K}_{\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}}^{51} & \mathbf{K}_{\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}}^{52}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\sigma, \sigma_{2}=w_{b z^{\prime}}, w_{b y^{\prime}}, \theta, u_{b}$ and $\sigma_{1}=u_{p}, v_{p}$.
The components of sub-matrices in Eqs. (B30)-(B32) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{w_{p} w_{b z^{\prime}}}^{11}\right\}_{s, b m^{\prime}+1}=k_{z^{\prime}}^{p b} \alpha_{m n} \mathrm{H} \tilde{\Delta}_{m, n, b m^{\prime}}+K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b} \lambda_{m^{\prime}}\left(l_{x} \lambda_{m} \bar{\alpha}_{m n} \tilde{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{\Delta}_{m, n, b m^{\prime}}+l_{y} \lambda_{n} \bar{\alpha}_{n m} \tilde{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{\Delta}_{n, m, b m^{\prime}}\right)  \tag{B33}\\
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{w_{p} w_{b z^{\prime}}}^{12}\right\}_{s, b n^{\prime}+1}=k_{z^{\prime}}^{p b} \alpha_{m n} \overline{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{\Delta}_{m, n, b n^{\prime}}-K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b} \lambda_{n^{\prime}}\left(l_{x} \lambda_{m} \bar{\alpha}_{m n} \tilde{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{\Delta}_{m, n, b n^{\prime}}+l_{y} \lambda_{n} \widehat{\alpha}_{n m} \mathrm{H} \tilde{\Delta}_{n, m, b n^{\prime}}\right),  \tag{B34}\\
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{w_{p} w_{b z^{\prime}}}^{(i+1) 1}\right\}_{m+1, b m^{\prime}+1}=k_{z^{\prime}}^{p b}\left(\tau_{b i} \hat{\mathrm{H}} \hat{\bar{\alpha}}_{m}\right) \Xi_{m, b m^{\prime}}^{c}-K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b} \lambda_{b m m^{\prime}}\left[l_{y}\left(\tilde{\tau}_{b i} \hat{\mathrm{H}} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{m}\right)-l_{x} \lambda_{m}\left(\tau_{b i} \hat{\mathrm{H}} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{m} \bar{\Lambda}\right)\right] \Xi_{m, b m^{\prime}}^{s}  \tag{B35}\\
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{w_{p} w_{b z^{\prime}}}^{(i+1) 2}\right\}_{m+1, b n^{\prime}+1}=k_{z^{\prime}}^{p b}\left(\tau_{b i} \hat{H} \hat{\bar{\alpha}}_{m}\right) \Xi_{m, b n^{\prime}}^{s}+K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b} \lambda_{b n^{\prime}}\left[l_{y}\left(\tilde{\tau}_{b i} \hat{H} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{m}\right)-l_{x} \lambda_{m}\left(\tau_{b i} \hat{H} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{m} \bar{\Lambda}\right)\right] \Xi_{m, b n^{\prime}}^{c}  \tag{B36}\\
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{w_{p} w_{b z^{\prime}}}^{(i+5) 1}\right\}_{n+1, b m^{\prime}+1}=k_{z^{\prime}}^{p b}\left(\tau_{a i} \hat{H} \hat{\bar{\alpha}}_{n}\right) \Xi_{n, b m^{\prime}}^{c}-K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b} \lambda_{b m^{\prime}}\left[l_{x}\left(\tilde{\tau}_{a i} \hat{H} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{n}\right)-l_{y} \lambda_{n}\left(\tau_{a i} \hat{H} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{n} \bar{\Lambda}\right)\right] \Xi_{n, b m^{\prime}}^{s}  \tag{B37}\\
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{w_{p} w_{b z^{\prime}}}^{(i+5) 2}\right\}_{n+1, b n^{\prime}+1}=k_{z^{\prime}}^{p b}\left(\tau_{a i} \hat{H} \hat{\bar{\alpha}}_{n}\right) \Xi_{n, b n^{\prime}}^{s}+K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b} \lambda_{b n^{\prime}}\left[l_{x}\left(\tilde{\tau}_{a i} \hat{H} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{n}\right)-l_{y} \lambda_{n}\left(\tau_{a i} \hat{H} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{n} \bar{\Lambda}\right)\right] \Xi_{n, b n^{\prime}}^{c}  \tag{B38}\\
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{w_{p} \theta}^{11}\right\}_{s, b m^{\prime}+1}=K_{x^{\prime}}^{p b}\left(l_{y} \lambda_{m} \bar{\alpha}_{m n} \mathrm{H} \tilde{\Delta}_{m, n, b m^{\prime}}-l_{x} \lambda_{n} \widehat{\alpha}_{n m} \tilde{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{\Delta}_{n, m, b m^{\prime}}\right)  \tag{B39}\\
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{w_{p} \theta}^{12}\right\}_{s, b n^{\prime}+1}=K_{x^{\prime}}^{p b}\left(l_{y} \lambda_{m} \widehat{\alpha}_{m n} \tilde{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{\Delta}_{m, n, b n^{\prime}}-l_{x} \lambda_{n} \bar{\alpha}_{n m} \tilde{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{\Delta}_{n, m, b n^{\prime}}\right)  \tag{B40}\\
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{w_{p} \theta}^{(i+1) 1}\right\}_{m+1, b m^{\prime}+1}=K_{x^{\prime}}^{p b}\left[l_{x}\left(\tilde{\tau}_{b i} \hat{H} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{m}\right)+l_{y} \lambda_{m}\left(\tau_{b i} \hat{H} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{m} \bar{\Lambda}\right)\right] \Xi_{m, b m^{\prime}}^{c}  \tag{B41}\\
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{w_{p} \theta}^{(i+1) 2}\right\}_{m+1, b n^{\prime}+1}=K_{x^{\prime}}^{p b}\left[l_{x}\left(\tilde{\tau}_{b i} \hat{\mathrm{H}} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{m}\right)+l_{y} \lambda_{m}\left(\tau_{b i} \hat{\mathrm{H}} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{m} \bar{\Lambda}\right)\right] \Xi_{m, b n^{\prime}}^{s}  \tag{B42}\\
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{w_{p} \theta}^{(i+5) 1}\right\}_{n+1, b m^{\prime}+1}=K_{x^{\prime}}^{p b}\left[l_{y}\left(\tilde{\tau}_{a i} \hat{\mathrm{H}} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{n}\right)+l_{x} \lambda_{n}\left(\tau_{a i} \hat{\mathrm{H}} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{n} \bar{\Lambda}\right)\right] \Xi_{n, b m^{\prime}}^{c} \tag{B43}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\mathbf{K}_{w_{p} \theta}^{(i+5) 2}\right\}_{n+1, b n^{\prime}+1}=K_{x^{\prime}}^{p b}\left[l_{y}\left(\tilde{\tau}_{a i} \hat{\mathrm{H}} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{n}\right)+l_{x} \lambda_{n}\left(\tau_{a i} \hat{\mathrm{H}} \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{n} \bar{\Lambda}\right)\right] \Xi_{n, b n^{\prime}}^{s} \quad(i, j=1,3) \tag{B44}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expressions for $i, j=2,4$ can be obtained from Eqs. (B33)-(B44) by replacing $\hat{\bar{\alpha}}, \bar{\beta}$, and $\bar{\Lambda}$ with $\hat{\bar{\beta}}, \hat{\bar{\alpha}}$, and $\Lambda$, respectively.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\mathbf{K}_{u_{p} w_{b y^{\prime}}}^{11}\right\}_{s, b m^{\prime}+1}=k_{y^{\prime}}^{p b} l_{y} \alpha_{m n} \mathrm{H} \tilde{\Delta}_{m, n, b m^{\prime}}+K_{z^{\prime}}^{p b} \lambda_{n} \lambda_{b m^{\prime}} \hat{\alpha}_{n m} \tilde{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{\Delta}_{n, m, b m^{\prime}} \tag{B45}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{u_{p} w_{b y^{\prime}}}^{12}\right\}_{s, b n^{\prime}+1}=k_{y^{\prime}}^{p b} l_{y} \alpha_{m n} \overline{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{\Delta}_{m, n, b n^{\prime}}-K_{z^{\prime}}^{p b} \lambda_{n} \lambda_{b n^{\prime}} \bar{\alpha}_{n m} \mathrm{H} \tilde{\Delta}_{n, m, b n^{\prime}}  \tag{B46}\\
& \left\{\boldsymbol{K}_{u_{p} w_{b y}}^{i+1) 1}\right\}_{{ }^{2}}{ }_{m+1, b m^{\prime}+1}=\left(k_{y^{\prime}}^{p b} l_{y} \kappa_{b i} \hat{\Delta}_{m, b m}^{c_{x}} \tilde{\Lambda}_{c c}-K_{z^{\prime}}^{p b} \lambda_{b m^{\prime}} \tilde{\kappa}_{b i} \hat{\Delta}_{m, b m^{\prime}}^{\tilde{c}_{x}} \tilde{\Lambda}_{c s}\right) \hat{H}^{T} \chi_{m}^{T}  \tag{B47}\\
& \left\{\boldsymbol{K}_{u_{p} w_{b y}}^{(i+1) 2}\right\}_{m+1, b n^{\prime}+1}=\left(k_{y}^{p b} l_{y} \kappa_{b i} \hat{\Delta}_{m, b n^{\prime}}^{c_{x}} \tilde{\Lambda}_{c s}+K_{z}^{p b} \lambda_{b n^{\prime}} \tilde{\kappa}_{b i} \hat{\Delta}_{m, b n^{\prime}}^{\tilde{c}_{x}} \tilde{\Lambda}_{c c}\right) \widehat{\mathrm{H}}^{T} \chi_{m}^{T}  \tag{B48}\\
& \left\{\boldsymbol{K}_{u_{p} w_{b y}}^{(i+3) 1}\right\}_{n+1, b m^{\prime}+1}=\left(k_{y}^{p b} l_{y} \kappa_{a i} \hat{\Lambda}_{n, b m m^{C_{x}}}^{c_{x}} \tilde{\Lambda}_{c c}+K_{z^{\prime}}^{p b} \lambda_{n} \lambda_{b m^{\prime}} \kappa_{a i} \hat{\Delta}_{n, b m m^{\prime}}^{C_{x}} \tilde{\Lambda}_{s s} \widehat{H}^{T} \chi_{n}^{T}\right.  \tag{B49}\\
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{\left.u_{p} b_{b y}\right\rangle}^{(i+3) 2}\right\}_{n+1, b n^{\prime}+1}=\left(k_{y^{\prime}}^{p b} l_{y} \kappa_{a i} \hat{\Delta}_{n, b n^{\prime}}^{c_{x}} \tilde{\Lambda}_{c s}-K_{z^{\prime}}^{p b} \lambda_{n} \lambda_{b m^{\prime}} \kappa_{a i} \hat{\Delta}_{n, b n^{\prime}}^{C_{x}} \tilde{\Lambda}_{s c}\right) \widetilde{H}^{T} \chi_{n}^{T}  \tag{B50}\\
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{v_{p} w_{b y}}^{11}\right\}_{s, b m^{\prime}+1}=k_{y}^{p b} l_{x^{\prime}} \alpha_{m n} \mathrm{H} \tilde{\Delta}_{m, n, b m^{\prime}}+K_{z}^{p b} \lambda_{m} \lambda_{b m^{\prime}} \widehat{\alpha}_{m n} \mathrm{H} \tilde{\Delta}_{m, n, b m^{\prime}}  \tag{B51}\\
& \left\{\boldsymbol{K}_{v_{p} w_{b y}}^{11}\right\}_{s, b m^{\prime}+1}=k_{y}^{p b} l_{x} \alpha_{m n} \mathrm{H} \tilde{\Delta}_{m, n, b m^{\prime}}+K_{z}^{p b} \lambda_{m} \lambda_{b m^{\prime}} \widehat{\alpha}_{m n} \tilde{\mathrm{H}} \tilde{\Delta}_{m, n, b m^{\prime}}  \tag{B52}\\
& \left\{\boldsymbol{K}_{v_{p} w_{b y}}^{(i+1) 1}\right\}_{m+1, b m^{\prime}+1}=\left(k_{y^{\prime}}^{p b} l_{x} \kappa_{b i} \hat{\Delta}_{m, b m^{\prime}}^{C_{x}} \tilde{\Lambda}_{c c}+K_{z}^{p b} \lambda_{m} \lambda_{b m^{\prime}} \kappa_{b i} \hat{\Delta}_{m, b m^{\prime}}^{C_{x}} \tilde{\Lambda}_{s s}\right) \hat{H}^{T} \chi_{m}^{T}  \tag{B53}\\
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{v_{p} w_{b y}}^{(i+1) 2}\right\}_{m+1, b n^{\prime}+1}=\left(k_{y}^{p b} l_{x} \kappa_{b i} \hat{\Delta}_{m, b n^{\prime}}^{C_{x}} \tilde{\Lambda}_{c s}-K_{z}^{p b} \lambda_{m} \lambda_{b n} \kappa_{b i} \hat{\Delta}_{m, b n^{\prime}}^{c_{x}} \tilde{\Lambda}_{s c}\right) \widehat{\mathrm{H}}^{T} \chi_{m}^{T}  \tag{B54}\\
& \left\{\boldsymbol{K}_{v_{p} w_{b y}}^{(i+3) 1}\right\}_{n+1, b m^{\prime}+1}=\left(k_{y}^{p b} l_{x} \kappa_{a i} \hat{\Lambda}_{n, b m} \hat{X}_{x} \tilde{\Lambda}_{c c}-K_{z^{\prime}}^{p b} \lambda_{b m^{\prime}} \tilde{\kappa}_{a i} \hat{\Lambda}_{n, b m^{\prime}}^{\tilde{c}_{x}} \tilde{\Lambda}_{c s}\right) \mathcal{H}^{T} \chi_{n}^{T} \tag{B55}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{u_{p} u_{b}}^{11}\right\}_{s, b m^{\prime}+1}=k_{x}^{p b} l_{x} \alpha_{m n} \mathrm{H} \tilde{\Delta}_{m, n, b m m^{\prime}}, \quad\left\{\mathbf{K}_{u_{p} u_{b}}^{12}\right\}_{s, b n^{\prime}+1}=k_{x}^{p b} l_{x} \alpha_{m n} \bar{H} \tilde{\Delta}_{m, n, b n^{\prime}}  \tag{B57,B58}\\
& \left\{\boldsymbol{K}_{u_{p} u_{b}}^{(i+1) 1}\right\}_{m+1, b m^{\prime}+1}=k_{x}^{p b} l_{x} \kappa_{b i} \hat{\lambda}_{m, b m}^{C_{x}} \tilde{\Lambda}_{c c} \mathbb{H}^{T} \chi_{m}^{T}, \quad\left\{\boldsymbol{K}_{u_{p} u_{b}}^{(i+1) 2}\right\}_{m+1, b n^{\prime}+1}=k_{x}^{p b} l_{x} \kappa_{b i} \hat{\Lambda}_{m, b n^{\prime}}^{C_{x}} \tilde{\Lambda}_{c s} \mathbb{H}^{T} \chi_{m}^{T}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\mathbf{K}_{u_{p} u_{b}}^{(i+3) 1}\right\}_{n+1, b m^{\prime}+1}=k_{x}^{p b} l_{x} \kappa_{a i} \hat{\Lambda}_{n, b m^{\prime}}^{C_{x}} \tilde{\Lambda}_{c c} \widetilde{H}^{T} \chi_{n}^{T} \text { and }\left\{\boldsymbol{K}_{u_{p} u_{b}}^{(i+3) 2}\right\}_{n+1, b n^{\prime}+1}=k_{x}^{p b} l_{x} \kappa_{a i} \hat{\Delta}_{n, b n^{\prime}}^{c_{x}} \tilde{\Lambda}_{c s} \widetilde{H}^{T} \chi_{n}^{T} \quad(i, j=1,2) \tag{B61,B62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s=m(N+1)+n+1$ and $t=m^{\prime}(N+1)+n^{\prime}+1$.
The stiffness matrix $\mathbf{K}_{b}$ is given by

$$
\mathbf{K}_{b}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{K}_{b}^{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0}  \tag{B63}\\
& \mathbf{K}_{b}^{2} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\
\vdots & & \ddots & 0 \\
\mathbf{S} & \cdots & & \mathbf{K}_{b}^{N}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\mathbf{K}_{b}^{i}=\mathbf{K}_{b}^{i \mathbf{0}}+\mathbf{K}_{b}^{i c}$; the stiffness matrix of the $i$ th beam $\mathbf{K}_{b}^{i}$ is the summation of $\mathbf{K}_{b}^{i \mathbf{0}}$ and $\mathbf{K}_{b}^{i c}$, respectively, defined as

$$
\mathbf{K}_{b}^{i 0}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{K}_{w_{b z}, w_{b z}}^{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0}  \tag{B64}\\
\vdots & \mathbf{K}_{w_{b y}, w_{b y}}^{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \mathbf{K}_{u_{b} u_{b}}^{0} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{S} & \cdots & \cdots & \mathbf{K}_{\theta \theta}^{\mathbf{0}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{K}_{b}^{i, c}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{K}_{w_{b z}, w_{b z}}^{c} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0}  \tag{B65}\\
\vdots & \mathbf{K}_{w_{b y}, w_{b y}}^{c} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \mathbf{K}_{u_{b} u_{b}}^{c} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{S} & \cdots & \cdots & \mathbf{K}_{\theta \theta}^{c}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Components of sub-matrices $\mathbf{K}_{b}^{i \mathbf{0}}$ and $\mathbf{K}_{b}^{i, c}$ have the common form as follows:
(B66, B67)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\boldsymbol{K}_{w_{b z} z^{\prime}}^{0, A A} \boldsymbol{w}_{z z^{\prime}}\right\}_{m, m^{\prime}}= \begin{cases}D_{b y} \lambda_{m}^{4} \delta_{m m^{\prime}} L_{b}+k_{z, 0}^{b}+k_{z, L}^{b}(-1)^{m+m^{\prime}}, & m, m^{\prime}=0 \\
D_{b y} \lambda_{m}^{4} \delta_{m m^{\prime}} \frac{L_{b}}{2}+k_{z, 0}^{b}+k_{z, L}^{b}(-1)^{m+m^{\prime}}, & m, m^{\prime} \neq 0\end{cases}  \tag{B68}\\
& \left\{\boldsymbol{K}_{w_{b z} z_{b z}}^{0, A B}\right\}_{m, n}= \begin{cases}0, & m=n \\
D_{b y} \lambda_{m}^{2} \lambda_{n}^{2} n L_{b}\left((-1)^{m+n}-1\right) / \pi\left(m^{2}-n^{2}\right), & m \neq n\end{cases}  \tag{B69}\\
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{w_{b z} z_{b z}}^{0 . B B}\right\}_{n, n^{\prime}}= \begin{cases}\lambda_{n} \lambda_{n^{\prime}}\left(k_{z, 0}^{b}+k_{z, L}^{b}(-1)^{n+n^{\prime}}\right), & n, n^{\prime}=0 \\
D_{b y} \lambda_{n}^{4} \delta_{n n} \frac{L_{b}}{2}+\lambda_{n} \lambda_{n^{\prime}}\left(k_{z, 0}^{b}+k_{z, L}^{b}(-1)^{n+n^{\prime}}\right), & n, n^{\prime} \neq 0\end{cases} \tag{B70}
\end{align*}
$$

One can obtain $\mathbf{K}_{w_{b y^{\prime}} w_{b y^{\prime}}}^{0}$ from $\mathbf{K}_{w_{b z^{\prime}} w_{b z^{\prime}}}^{0}$ in Eqs. (B68)-(B70) by replacing $D_{b y^{\prime}}, K_{z^{\prime}, 0}^{b}$, and $K_{z^{\prime}, L}^{b}$ with $D_{b z^{\prime}}, K_{y^{\prime}, 0}^{b}$, and $K_{y^{\prime}, L}^{b}$, respectively.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\boldsymbol{K}_{u_{b} u_{b}}^{0, A A}\right\}_{m, m^{\prime}}= \begin{cases}k_{u, 0}^{b}+k_{u, l}^{b}(-1)^{m+m^{\prime}}, & m, m^{\prime}=0 \\
E_{b} S \lambda_{m}^{2} \delta_{m m^{\prime}} \frac{L_{b}}{2}+k_{u, 0}^{b}+k_{u, L}^{b}(-1)^{m+m^{\prime}}, & m, m^{\prime} \neq 0\end{cases}  \tag{B71}\\
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{u_{b} u_{b}}^{0 . A \mathbf{A}}\right\}_{m, n}= \begin{cases}0, & m=n \\
-E_{b} S \lambda_{m} \lambda_{n} m L_{b}\left((-1)^{m+n}-1\right) / \pi\left(m^{2}-n^{2}\right), & m \neq n\end{cases} \tag{B72}
\end{align*}
$$

and

One can obtain $\mathbf{K}_{\theta \theta}^{0}$ from $\mathbf{K}_{u_{b} u_{b}}^{0}$ in Eqs. (B71)-(B73) by replacing $E_{b} S, K_{u, 0}^{b}$, and $K_{\theta, L}^{b}$ with $G_{b} J, K_{\theta, 0}^{b}$, and $K_{\theta, L}^{b}$, respectively.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{w_{b z} w_{b z}}^{\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{A A}}\right\}_{m, m^{\prime}}= \begin{cases}k_{z^{\prime}}^{p b} L_{b}, & m, m^{\prime}=0 \\
\delta_{m m^{\prime}} \frac{L_{b}}{2}\left(k_{z^{\prime}}^{p b}+K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b} \lambda_{m}^{2}\right), & m, m^{\prime} \neq 0\end{cases}  \tag{B74}\\
& \left\{\mathbf{K}_{w_{b z^{\prime}} w_{b z^{\prime}}}^{c, A \mathbf{A B}}\right\}_{m, n} \begin{cases}0, & m=n \\
L_{b}\left((-1)^{m+n}-1\right) / \pi\left(m^{2}-n^{2}\right)\left(n k_{z^{\prime}}^{p b}-m \lambda_{m} \lambda_{n} K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b}\right), & m \neq n\end{cases} \tag{B75}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\left\{\mathbf{K}_{w_{b z^{\prime}} w_{b z^{\prime}}}^{c, \mathbf{B B}}\right\}_{n, n^{\prime}}= \begin{cases}0, & n, n^{\prime}=0  \tag{B76}\\ \delta_{n n^{\prime}} \frac{L_{b}}{2}\left(k_{z^{\prime}}^{p b}+K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b} \lambda_{n}^{2}\right), & n, n^{\prime} \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

One can obtain $\mathbf{K}_{w_{b y^{\prime}} w_{b y^{\prime}}}^{c}$ from $\mathbf{K}_{w_{b z^{\prime}} w_{b z^{\prime}}}^{c}$ in Eqs. (B74)-(B76) by replacing $k_{z^{\prime}}^{p b}$ and $K_{y^{\prime}}^{p b}$ with $k_{y^{\prime}}^{p b}$ and $K_{z^{\prime}}^{p b}$, respectively.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\{\mathbf{K}_{u_{b} u_{b}}^{c, A A}\right\}_{m, m^{\prime}}= \begin{cases}k_{x^{\prime}}^{p b} L_{b}, & m, m^{\prime}=0 \\
\delta_{m m^{\prime}} \frac{L_{b}}{2} k_{x^{\prime}}^{p b}, & m, m^{\prime} \neq 0\end{cases}  \tag{B77}\\
\left\{\mathbf{K}_{u_{b} u_{b}}^{c, \mathbf{A}\}_{m, n}}\right\}_{x^{\prime}}= \begin{cases}0, & m=n \\
k_{x^{\prime}}^{p b} n L_{b}\left((-1)^{m+n}-1\right) / \pi\left(m^{2}-n^{2}\right), & m \neq n\end{cases} \tag{B78}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\left\{\mathbf{K}_{u_{b} u_{b}}^{c, \mathbf{B B}}\right\}_{n, n^{\prime}}= \begin{cases}0, & n, n^{\prime}=0  \tag{B79}\\ k_{x^{\prime}}^{p b} \delta_{n n^{\prime}} \frac{L_{b}}{2}, & n, n^{\prime} \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

One can obtain $\mathbf{K}_{\theta \theta}^{\mathbf{c}}$ from $\mathbf{K}_{u_{b} u_{b}}^{c}$ by replacing $k_{x^{\prime}}^{p b}$ with $K_{x^{\prime}}^{p b}$ in Eqs. (B77)-(B79).
The beam mass matrices are given by

$$
\mathbf{M}_{b}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{M}_{b}^{\mathbf{1}} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0}  \tag{B80}\\
& \mathbf{M}_{b}^{2} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{S} & \cdots & & \mathbf{M}_{b}^{N}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{M}_{b}^{i}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{M}_{w_{b z} z^{\prime}} w_{b z^{\prime}} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0}  \tag{B81}\\
\vdots & \mathbf{M}_{w_{b y^{\prime}} w_{b y^{\prime}}} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \mathbf{M}_{u_{b} u_{b}} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{S} & \cdots & \cdots & \mathbf{M}_{\theta \theta}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The components of $\mathbf{M}_{w_{b z}} w_{b z}, \mathbf{M}_{w_{b y} w_{b y}}, \mathbf{M}_{u_{b} u_{b}}$, and $\mathbf{M}_{\theta \theta}$ have a common form as given below:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{M}_{\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{M}_{\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}}^{\mathrm{AA}} & \mathbf{M}_{\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}}^{\mathrm{AB}} \\
\left(\mathbf{M}_{\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}}^{\mathrm{AB}}\right)^{T} & \mathbf{M}_{\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}}^{\mathrm{BB}}
\end{array}\right]\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}=w_{b z^{\prime}}, w_{b y^{\prime}}, \theta, u_{b}\right)  \tag{B82}\\
\left\{\mathbf{M}_{\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}}^{\mathrm{AA}}\right\}_{m, m^{\prime}}= \begin{cases}\rho_{b} S L_{b}, & m, m^{\prime}=0 \\
\delta_{m m^{\prime}} \rho_{b} S \frac{L_{b}}{2}, & m, m^{\prime} \neq 0\end{cases}  \tag{B83}\\
\left\{\mathbf{M}_{\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}}^{\mathrm{AB}}\right\}_{m, n}= \begin{cases}0, & m=n \\
\rho_{b} \operatorname{SnL} L_{b}\left((-1)^{m+n}-1\right) / \pi\left(m^{2}-n^{2}\right), & m \neq n\end{cases} \tag{B84}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\left\{\mathbf{M}_{\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}}^{\mathbf{B B}}\right\}_{n, n^{\prime}}= \begin{cases}0, & n, n^{\prime}=0  \tag{B85}\\ \rho_{b} S \delta_{n n^{\prime}} \frac{L_{b}}{2}, & n, n^{\prime} \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

## Appendix C. Definitions of matrixes used in Appendix B

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\zeta}_{p}^{1}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\left.\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2 b} l_{y}+\lambda_{p} l_{x}\right) x^{\prime} \quad \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2 b} l_{y}-\lambda_{p} l_{x}\right) x^{\prime} \quad \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2 b} l_{y}+\lambda_{p} l_{x}\right) x^{\prime} \quad \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2 b} l_{y}-\lambda_{p} l_{x}\right) x^{\prime}\right]
\end{array}\right] \\
& \bar{\zeta}_{p}^{2}=\left[\cos \left(\frac{3 \pi}{2 b} l_{y}+\lambda_{p} l_{x}\right) x^{\prime} \quad \cos \left(\frac{3 \pi}{2 b} l_{y}-\lambda_{p} l_{x}\right) x^{\prime} \quad \sin \left(\frac{3 \pi}{2 b} l_{y}+\lambda_{p} l_{x}\right) x^{\prime} \quad \sin \left(\frac{3 \pi}{2 b} l_{y}-\lambda_{p} l_{x}\right) x^{\prime}\right] \\
& \bar{\alpha}_{p}^{1}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2 b} L_{y b}+\lambda_{p} L_{x b}\right) & \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2 b} L_{y b}-\lambda_{p} L_{x b}\right) & \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2 b} L_{y b}+\lambda_{p} L_{x b}\right)
\end{array} \quad \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2 b} L_{y b}-\lambda_{p} L_{x b}\right)\right] \\
& \bar{\alpha}_{p}^{2}=\left[\sin \left(\frac{3 \pi}{2 b} L_{y b}+\lambda_{p} L_{x b}\right) \quad \sin \left(\frac{3 \pi}{2 b} L_{y b}-\lambda_{p} L_{x b}\right) \quad \cos \left(\frac{3 \pi}{2 b} L_{y b}+\lambda_{p} L_{x b}\right) \quad \cos \left(\frac{3 \pi}{2 b} L_{y b}-\lambda_{p} L_{x b}\right)\right] \\
& \bar{\beta}_{p}^{1}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2 b} L_{y b}+\lambda_{p} L_{x b}\right) & \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2 b} L_{y b}-\lambda_{p} L_{x b}\right) & -\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2 b} L_{y b}+\lambda_{p} L_{x b}\right) \quad \sin \left(\lambda_{p} L_{x b}-\frac{\pi}{2 b} L_{y b}\right)
\end{array}\right] \\
& \bar{\beta}_{p}^{2}=\left[\cos \left(\frac{3 \pi}{2 b} L_{y b}+\lambda_{p} L_{x b}\right) \quad \cos \left(\frac{3 \pi}{2 b} L_{y b}-\lambda_{p} L_{x b}\right) \quad-\sin \left(\frac{3 \pi}{2 b} L_{y b}+\lambda_{p} L_{x b}\right) \quad \sin \left(\lambda_{p} L_{x b}-\frac{3 \pi}{2 b} L_{y b}\right)\right] \\
& \bar{\zeta}_{p}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{\zeta}_{p}^{1} & \bar{\zeta}_{p}^{2}
\end{array}\right], \quad \bar{\beta}_{p}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{\beta}_{p}^{1} & \bar{\beta}_{p}^{2}
\end{array}\right], \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{\alpha}_{p}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{\alpha}_{p}^{1} & \bar{\alpha}_{p}^{2}
\end{array}\right]  \tag{C1-C9}\\
& \zeta_{p q}=\left\{\cos \left(\lambda_{p} l_{p}+\lambda_{q} l_{q}\right) x^{\prime} \quad \cos \left(\lambda_{p} l_{p}-\lambda_{q} l_{q}\right) x^{\prime} \quad \sin \left(\lambda_{p} l_{p}+\lambda_{q} l_{q}\right) x^{\prime} \quad \sin \left(\lambda_{p} l_{p}-\lambda_{q} l_{q}\right) x^{\prime}\right\} \\
& \alpha_{p q}=\left\{\cos \left(\lambda_{p} L_{p}+\lambda_{q} L_{q}\right) \quad \cos \left(\lambda_{p} L_{p}-\lambda_{q} L_{q}\right) \quad-\sin \left(\lambda_{p} L_{p}+\lambda_{q} L_{q}\right) \quad \sin \left(\lambda_{q} L_{q}-\lambda_{p} L_{p}\right)\right\} \\
& \hat{\alpha}_{p q}=\left\{\sin \left(\lambda_{p} L_{p}+\lambda_{q} L_{q}\right) \quad \sin \left(\lambda_{p} L_{p}-\lambda_{q} L_{q}\right) \quad \cos \left(\lambda_{p} L_{p}+\lambda_{q} L_{q}\right) \quad \cos \left(\lambda_{p} L_{p}-\lambda_{q} L_{q}\right)\right\} \\
& \hat{\alpha}_{p q}=\left\{-\cos \left(\lambda_{p} L_{p}+\lambda_{q} L_{q}\right) \quad \cos \left(\lambda_{p} L_{p}-\lambda_{q} L_{q}\right) \quad \sin \left(\lambda_{p} L_{p}+\lambda_{q} L_{q}\right) \quad \sin \left(\lambda_{q} L_{q}-\lambda_{p} L_{p}\right)\right\} \quad\left(p, q=m, m^{\prime}, n, n^{\prime}\right)  \tag{C10-C13}\\
& \tau_{a 1}=\left\{\frac{9 a}{4 \pi}-\frac{a}{12 \pi}\right\}, \quad \tau_{a 2}=\left\{-\frac{9 a}{4 \pi}-\frac{a}{12 \pi}\right\}, \quad \tau_{a 3}=\left\{\frac{a^{3}}{\pi^{3}}-\frac{a^{3}}{3 \pi^{3}}\right\}, \quad \tau_{a 4}=\left\{-\frac{a^{3}}{\pi^{3}}-\frac{a^{3}}{3 \pi^{3}}\right\} \tag{C14-C21}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Xi_{p_{1}, p_{2}, q_{1}, q_{2}}=\int_{0}^{L_{b}} \zeta_{p_{1}, p_{2}}^{T} \varsigma_{q_{1}, q_{2}} d x^{\prime}, \quad \bar{\Xi}_{p_{1} p_{2}, q_{1}}=\int_{0}^{L_{b}} \zeta_{p_{1} p_{2}}^{T} \bar{\zeta}_{q_{1}} d x^{\prime} \hat{\Xi}_{p_{1}, q_{1}}=\int_{0}^{L_{b}} \bar{\zeta}_{p_{1}}^{T} \bar{\zeta}_{q_{1}} d x^{\prime}, \quad \bar{\Xi}_{p_{1}, q_{3}}^{c}=\int_{0}^{L_{b}} \bar{\zeta}_{p_{1}}^{T} \cos \lambda_{q_{3}} d x^{\prime} \\
& \widehat{\Xi}_{p_{1}, q_{3}}^{s}=\int_{0}^{L_{b}} \bar{\zeta}_{p_{1}}^{T} \sin \lambda_{q_{3}} d x^{\prime} \quad\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, q_{1}, q_{2}=m, m^{\prime}, n, n^{\prime} ; \quad q_{3}=b m, b m^{\prime}, b n, b n^{\prime}\right) \tag{C22-C26}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\kappa_{a 2}=\frac{1}{a^{2}}\left\{\begin{array}{llll}
L_{x b}^{2}\left(L_{x b}-a\right) & -l_{x} L_{x b}\left(2 a+3 L_{x b}\right) & l_{x}^{2}\left(3 L_{x b}-a\right) & l_{x}^{3}
\end{array}\right\}  \tag{C27}\\
\tilde{\kappa}_{a 1}=\frac{1}{a^{2}}\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
L_{x b}\left(a^{2}-4 a L_{x b}+3 L_{x b}^{2}\right) & l_{x}\left(6 L_{x b}-4 a\right) & 3 l_{x}^{2}
\end{array}\right\} \tag{C28}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\kappa}_{a 2}=\frac{1}{a^{2}}\left\{L_{x b}\left(3 L_{x b}-2 a\right) \quad l_{x}\left(6 L_{x b}-2 a\right) \quad 3 l_{x}^{2}\right\}  \tag{C29}\\
& C_{x}^{0}=\left\{\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & x & x^{2} & x^{3} & x^{4} & x^{5} & x^{6}
\end{array}\right\}, \quad C_{x}=\left\{\begin{array}{llll}
1 & x & x^{2} & x^{3}
\end{array}\right\}, \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{C}_{x}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & x & x^{2}
\end{array}\right\}  \tag{C30-C32}\\
& \sin A L_{b} / A \\
& \left(A L_{b} \sin A L_{b}+\cos A L_{b}-1\right) / A^{2} \\
& {\left[\left(A^{2} L_{b}^{2}-2\right) \sin A L_{b}+2 A L_{b} \cos A L_{b}\right] / A^{3}} \\
& {\left[A L_{b}\left(A^{2} L_{b}^{2}-6\right) \sin A L_{b}+3\left(A^{2} L^{2}-2\right) \cos A L_{b}+6\right] / A^{4}} \\
& {\left[\left(A^{4} L_{b}^{4}-12 A^{2} L_{b}^{2}+24\right) \sin A L_{b}+4 A L_{b}\left(A^{2} L_{b}^{2}-6\right) \cos A L_{b}\right] / A^{5}} \\
& {\left[A L_{b}\left(A^{4} L_{b}^{4}-20 A^{2} L_{b}^{2}+120\right) \sin A L_{b}+5\left(A^{4} L_{b}^{4}-12 A^{2} L_{b}^{2}+24\right) \cos A L_{b}+120\right] / A^{6}} \\
& \left.\left[\left(A^{6} L_{b}^{6}-30 A^{4} L_{b}^{4}+360 A^{2} L_{b}^{2}-720\right) \sin A L_{b}+6 A L_{b}\left(A^{4} L_{b}^{4}-20 A^{2} L_{b}^{2}+120\right) \cos A L_{b}\right] / A^{7}\right) \\
& \int_{0}^{L_{b}} C_{x}^{0} \sin A x^{\prime} d x^{\prime}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left(\cos A L_{b}\right) / A \\
\left(\sin A L_{b}+A L_{b} \cos A L_{b}\right) / A^{2} \\
{\left[2 A L_{b} \sin A L_{b}+\left(2-A^{2} L_{b}^{2}\right) \cos A L_{b}-2\right] / A^{3}} \\
{\left[3 A^{2} L_{b}^{2} \sin A L_{b}-6 \sin A L_{b}-A L_{b}\left(A^{2} L_{b}^{2}-6\right) \cos A L_{b}\right] / A^{4}} \\
{\left[4 L_{b}\left(A^{2} L_{b}^{2}-6\right) \sin A L_{b}-\left(A^{4} L_{b}^{4}-12 A^{2} L_{b}^{2}+24\right) \cos A L_{b}+24\right] / A^{5}} \\
{\left[-A L_{b}\left(A^{4} L_{b}^{4}-20 A^{2} L_{b}^{2}+120\right) \cos A L_{b}+5\left(A^{4} L_{b}^{4}-12 A^{2} L_{b}^{2}+24\right) \sin A L_{b}\right] / A^{6}} \\
{\left[6 A L_{b}\left(A^{4} L_{b}^{4}-20 A^{2} L_{b}^{2}+120\right) \sin A L_{b}-\left(A^{6} L_{b}^{6}-30 A^{4} L_{b}^{4}+360 A^{2} L_{b}^{2}-720\right) \cos A L_{b}\right] / A^{7}}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& \bar{\xi}_{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}}^{1}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\cos \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} l_{q_{1}}+\lambda_{q_{2}} l_{q_{2}}+\lambda_{q_{3}} l_{q_{3}}\right) x^{\prime} \\
\cos \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} l_{q_{1}}+\lambda_{q_{2}} l_{q_{2}}-\lambda_{q_{3}} l_{q_{3}} x^{\prime}\right. \\
\sin \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} l_{q_{1}}+\lambda_{q_{2}} l_{q_{2}}+\lambda_{q_{3}} l_{q_{3}}\right) x^{\prime} \\
\sin \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} l_{q_{1}}+\lambda_{q_{2}} l_{q_{2}}-\lambda_{q_{3}} l_{q_{3}}\right) x^{\prime}
\end{array}\right\}^{T} \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{\xi}_{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}}^{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\cos \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} l_{q_{1}}-\lambda_{q_{2}} l_{q_{2}}+\lambda_{q_{3}} l_{q_{3}}\right) x^{\prime} \\
\cos \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} l_{q_{1}}-\lambda_{q_{2}} l_{q_{2}}-\lambda_{q_{3}} l_{q_{3}}\right) x^{\prime} \\
\sin \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} l_{q_{1}}-\lambda_{q_{2}} l_{q_{2}}+\lambda_{q_{3}} l_{q_{3}}\right) x^{\prime} \\
\sin \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} l_{q_{1}}-\lambda_{q_{2}} l_{q_{2}}-\lambda_{q_{3}} l_{q_{3}}\right) x^{\prime}
\end{array}\right\}  \tag{C35,C36}\\
& \gamma_{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}}^{1}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\cos \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}}+\lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}+\lambda_{q_{3}} L_{q_{3}}\right) \\
\cos \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}}+\lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}-\lambda_{q_{3}} L_{q_{3}}\right) \\
-\sin \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}}+\lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}+\lambda_{q_{3}} L_{q_{3}}\right) \\
-\sin \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}}+\lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}-\lambda_{q_{3}} L_{q_{3}}\right)
\end{array}\right\}^{T} \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma_{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}}^{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\cos \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}}-\lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}+\lambda_{q_{3}} L_{q_{3}}\right) \\
\cos \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}}-\lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}-\lambda_{q_{3}} L_{q_{3}}\right) \\
-\sin \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}}-\lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}+\lambda_{q_{3}} L_{q_{3}}\right) \\
-\sin \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}}-\lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}-\lambda_{q_{3}} L_{q_{3}}\right)
\end{array}\right\}^{T}  \tag{C37,C38}\\
& \bar{\gamma}_{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}}^{1}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\sin \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}}+\lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}+\lambda_{q_{3}} L_{q_{3}}\right) \\
\sin \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}}+\lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}-\lambda_{q_{3}} L_{q_{3}}\right) \\
\cos \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}}+\lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}+\lambda_{q_{3}} L_{q_{3}}\right) \\
-\cos \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}}+\lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}-\lambda_{q_{3}} L_{q_{3}}\right)
\end{array}\right\}^{T} \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{\gamma}_{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}}^{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\sin \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}}-\lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}+\lambda_{q_{3}} L_{q_{3}}\right) \\
\sin \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}}-\lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}-\lambda_{q_{3}} L_{q_{3}}\right) \\
\cos \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}}-\lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}+\lambda_{q_{3}} L_{q_{3}}\right) \\
-\cos \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}}-\lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}-\lambda_{q_{3}} L_{q_{3}}\right)
\end{array}\right\}^{T} \\
& \hat{\gamma}_{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}}^{1}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-\cos \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}}+\lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}+\lambda_{q_{3}} L_{q_{3}}\right) \\
\cos \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}}+\lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}-\lambda_{q_{3}} L_{q_{3}}\right) \\
\sin \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}}+\lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}+\lambda_{q_{3}} L_{q_{3}}\right) \\
-\sin \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}}+\lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}-\lambda_{q_{3}} L_{q_{3}}\right)
\end{array}\right\}^{T} \quad \text { and } \quad \hat{\gamma}_{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}}^{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-\cos \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}}-\lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}+\lambda_{q_{3}} L_{q_{3}}\right) \\
\cos \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}}-\lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}-\lambda_{q_{3}}{\left.L_{q_{3}}\right)}_{\sin \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}}-\lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}+\lambda_{q_{3}} L_{q_{3}}\right)}-\sin \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}}-\lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}-\lambda_{q_{3}} L_{q_{3}}\right)\right.
\end{array}\right\}^{T}  \tag{C41,C42}\\
& \tilde{\gamma}_{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}}^{1}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-\sin \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}}+\lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}+\lambda_{q_{3}} L_{q_{3}}\right) \\
\sin \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}}+\lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}-\lambda_{q_{3}} L_{q_{3}}\right) \\
-\cos \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}}+\lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}+\lambda_{q_{3}} L_{q_{3}}\right) \\
\cos \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}}+\lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}-\lambda_{q_{3}} L_{q_{3}}\right)
\end{array}\right\}^{T} \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{\gamma}_{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}}^{1}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\sin \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}}-\lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}+\lambda_{q_{3}} L_{q_{3}}\right) \\
-\sin \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}}-\lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}-\lambda_{q_{3}} L_{q_{3}}\right) \\
\cos \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}} \lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}+\lambda_{q_{3}} L_{q_{3}}\right) \\
-\cos \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} L_{q_{1}}-\lambda_{q_{2}} L_{q_{2}}-\lambda_{q_{3}} L_{q_{3}}\right)
\end{array}\right\}^{T}  \tag{C43,C44}\\
& \bar{\xi}_{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{\xi}_{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}}^{1} & \bar{\xi}_{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}}^{2}
\end{array}\right\}, \quad \gamma_{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\gamma_{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}}^{1} & \gamma_{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}}^{2}
\end{array}\right\}, \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{\gamma}_{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{\gamma}_{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}}^{1} & \bar{\gamma}_{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}}^{2}
\end{array}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

(C33, C34)
(C39, C40)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\gamma}_{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}}=\left\{\hat{\gamma}_{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}}^{1} \quad \hat{\gamma}_{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}}^{2}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{\gamma}_{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}}= \begin{cases}\tilde{\gamma}_{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}}^{1} & \left.\tilde{\gamma}_{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}}^{2}\right\}\end{cases}  \tag{C48,C49}\\
& \Delta_{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}}^{p}=\int_{0}^{L_{b}} p^{T} \bar{\zeta}_{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}} d x^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{\Delta}_{q_{1}, q_{2}}^{p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}}=\int_{0}^{L_{b}}\left(p_{1}^{T} p_{3}\right)\left(p_{2}^{T} \varsigma_{q_{1}, q_{2}}\right) d x^{\prime}  \tag{C50,C51}\\
& \tilde{\Delta}_{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}}=\int_{0}^{L_{b}} \bar{\zeta}_{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}}^{T} d x^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad \hat{\Delta}_{q_{1}, q_{2}}^{p}=\int_{0}^{L_{b}} p^{T} \tilde{\xi}_{q_{1}, q_{2}} d x^{\prime}  \tag{C52,C53}\\
& \tilde{\xi}_{q_{1}, q_{2}}=\left\{\cos \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} l_{q_{1}}+\lambda_{q_{2}}\right) x^{\prime} \quad \cos \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} l_{q_{1}}-\lambda_{q_{2}}\right) x^{\prime} \quad \sin \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} l_{q_{1}}+\lambda_{q_{2}}\right) x^{\prime} \quad \sin \left(\lambda_{q_{1}} l_{q_{1}}-\lambda_{q_{2}}\right) x^{\prime}\right\}  \tag{C54}\\
& \text { where } q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}=m, n, m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}, b m^{\prime}, b n^{\prime}, l_{q_{1,2,3}}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
l_{x}, & q_{1,2,3}=m, m^{\prime} \\
l_{y}, & q_{1,2,3}=n, n^{\prime}, \\
1, & q_{1,2,3}=b n, b n^{\prime}
\end{array} \text { and } L_{q_{1,2,3}}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
L_{x}, & q_{1,2,3}=m, m^{\prime} \\
L_{y}, & q_{1,2,3}=n, n^{\prime} \\
1, & q_{1,2,3}=b n, b n^{\prime}
\end{array}\right. \text {. }\right.
\end{align*}
$$

Transformation matrices are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{H}=\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad \overline{\mathrm{H}}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right] \\
& \tilde{H}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad \hat{H}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad \widehat{H}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]  \tag{C55-C59}\\
& \Lambda=\operatorname{diag}\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right], \quad \bar{\Lambda}=\operatorname{diag}\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
-1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
& \tilde{\Lambda}_{c c}=\operatorname{diag}\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & -1 & -1
\end{array}\right], \quad \tilde{\Lambda}_{c s}=\operatorname{diag}\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & -1 & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right] \\
& \tilde{\Lambda}_{c s}=\operatorname{diag}\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{\Lambda}_{c s}=\operatorname{diag}\left[\begin{array}{llll}
-1 & 1 & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right]  \tag{C60-C65}\\
& \hat{\bar{\alpha}}_{p}=\operatorname{diag}\left[\bar{\alpha}_{p}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \hat{\bar{\beta}}_{p}=\operatorname{diag}\left[\bar{\beta}_{p}\right]  \tag{C66,C67}\\
& \chi_{p}=\left\{\cos \left(\lambda_{p} L_{p}\right) \quad \sin \left(\lambda_{p} L_{p}\right)\right\} \tag{C68}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
L_{p}= \begin{cases}L_{b x}, & p=m, m^{\prime} \\
L_{b y}, & p=n, n^{\prime}\end{cases}  \tag{C69}\\
\int_{0}^{L_{b}} \cos \left(A_{1} x+B_{1}\right) \cos \left(A_{2} x+B_{2}\right) d x=\frac{1}{2}\left[\sin \left(A L_{b}+B\right)-\sin B+\sin \left(\bar{A} L_{b}+\bar{B}\right)-\sin \bar{B}\right] \\
\int_{0}^{L_{b}} \sin \left(A_{1} x+B_{1}\right) \cos \left(A_{2} x+B_{2}\right) d x=-\frac{1}{2}\left[\cos \left(A L_{b}+B\right)-\cos B+\cos \left(\bar{A} L_{b}+\bar{B}\right)-\cos \bar{B}\right] \\
\int_{0}^{L_{b}} \sin \left(A_{1} x+B_{1}\right) \sin \left(A_{2} x+B_{2}\right) d x=-\frac{1}{2}\left[\sin \left(A L_{b}+B\right)-\sin B-\sin \left(\bar{A} L_{b}+\bar{B}\right)+\sin \bar{B}\right] \tag{C70-C72}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $A_{1}, A_{2}, B_{1}$, and $B_{2}$ are constants, and $A=A_{1}+A_{2}, \bar{A}=A_{1}-A_{2}, B=B_{1}+B_{2}$, and $\bar{B}=B_{1}-B_{2}$.
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